arXiv:math/0406029v4 [math.DG] 19 Dec 2007

[1]

BANDO-FUTAKI INVARIANTS ON HYPERSURFACES

CHIUNG-JU LIU

Abstract. In this paper, the Bando-Futaki invariants on hypersurfaces are derived in terms of the degree of the defining polynomials, the dimension of the underlying projective space, and the given holomorphic vector field. In addition, the holomorphic invariant introduced by Tian and Chen (Ricci Flow on Kähler-Einstein surfaces) is proven to be the Futaki invariant on compact Kähler manifolds with positive first Chern class.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Bando-Futaki invariants 5
2.1. Curvature Tensor on Hypersurfaces 5
2.2. Chern Forms 9
2.3. Computation of the Bando-Futaki invariants 16
3. Chen and Tian's holomorphic invariants 32
4. Higher order K-energy Functionals 34
5. APPENDIX 38
References 43

1. Introduction

In 1983, Futaki introduced the well-known Futaki invariant [6], which is an obstacle to the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on a compact complex manifold with positive first Chern class. Other generalizations of the Futaki invariant were introduced later, all of which are obstructions to certain geometric structures. The Calabi-Futaki invariant [3] is an obstruction to the existence of Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvature on a compact Kähler manifold. The Bando-Futaki invariants, raised by Bando [1] in 1983, are obstructions to the harmonicity of the higher order Chern forms. The Bando-Futaki invariants vanish if and only if the short-term solutions of the almost Kähler-Einstein exist (cf. Leung [12]). Tian and Zhu found a holomorphic invariant [21], which is an obstruction to the existence of Kähler-Ricci soliton. Recently, Futaki [8] generalized the Bando-Futaki invariants and the Futaki-Morita invariants [9]. The new invariants give obstructions to asymptotic Chow semi-stability when the invariant polynomials are Todd polynomials.

---

[1] *Subject Classification.* Primary 32J27; Secondary 32Q27

Date: December 08, 2007.

Key words and phrases. Bando-Futaki invariants, Futaki invariants.

The author was partially supported by NSF:DMS-0202508 and NSF:DMS-0347033 during her Ph.D. study.

Efficient methods for computing the Futaki invariant and the generalized Futaki invariants are essential to characterizing the existence of certain geometric structures. Lu [13] constructed a formula to evaluate the Futaki invariant on complete intersections. The formula depends on the dimension of the projective space, the degree of the defining polynomials, and the given tangent holomorphic vector field. Concurrently, Yotov [23] derived the same result with a different approach. On complete intersections, Phong and Sturm [17] formularized the Futaki invariant and the Mabuchi energy functional using the Deligne pairing. Their methods may lead to a complete solution to the problem of computing the Futaki invariant.

The main part of this paper is the computation of the Bando-Futaki invariants on hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{CP}^n$. The value, stated in Theorem 1.1, is in terms of the dimension n, the degree of the defining polynomial of the hypersurface, and the tangent vector field. In Theorem 1.2, we prove that Chen and Tian's holomorphic invariants introduced in [4, section 5] are the Futaki invariants. In the last section of this paper, we study two properties of the higher order K-energy functionals. The first property states that the higher order K-energy functionals are independent of the choice of paths. The second property states that they are the nonlinearizations of the Bando-Futaki invariants. Both properties are known to experts and are proved in [1, 2, 22]. We reiterate the proof for the former property in detail with an approach different from Weinkove's [22]. We slightly generalize the condition [22, Theorem 2] of the latter property.

Let M be an m-dimensional compact complex manifold with positive first Chern class $c_1(M) > 0$. Let ω_M be a Kähler form on M such that $[\omega_M] \in c_1(M)$. Let Θ be the curvature tensor with respect to the Kähler metric ω_M on the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}(M)$. Let $c_q(\Theta) = P^q(\Theta)$ denote the q-th Chern form of Θ, where $P^q(\Theta)$ is the elementary polynomials defined by

$$\det(\Theta + t \cdot I) = \sum_{q=0}^{m} P^q(\Theta) \cdot t^{m-q}.$$

Define the Chern classes $c_q(M)$ by

$$c_q(M) = [P^q(\Theta)] \in H^{2q}_{DR}(M),$$

where we set $c_0(M) = [1] \in H^0_{DR}(M)$. Let $Hc_q(\Theta)$ be the harmonic part of $c_q(\Theta)$ in the Hodge decomposition. Since M is Kähler, there exists a real $(q-1, q-1)$ form f_q such that

$$c_q(\Theta) - Hc_q(\Theta) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial} f_q, \tag{1.1}$$

where f_q is unique up to a $\partial\bar{\partial}$-closed form.

Definition 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}_q : H^0(M, T^{1,0}(M)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The q-th Bando-Futaki invariant is defined as*

$$\mathcal{F}_q(X) = \int_M L_X f_q \wedge \omega_M^{m+1-q} \tag{1.2}$$

for $q = 1, \cdots, m$.

Each $\mathcal{F}_q$ is well-defined on the Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields and independent of the choice of the Kähler form in the Kähler class. This property was proved by Bando [1] and can also be found in Futaki's book [7]. In particular, $\mathcal{F}_1$ is known as the Futaki invariant.

1.1 **Theorem 1.1.** *Let M be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^n$ defined by a homogeneous polynomial F of degree d with $d \leq n$. Let X be a holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{CP}^n$ such that*

$$XF = \kappa F$$

for a constant κ. Then the q-th Bando-Futaki invariant is

$$\mathcal{F}_q(X) = -(n+1-d)^{n-q}\frac{(d-1)(n+1)}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}(-d)^j(j+1)\binom{n}{q-j-1}\kappa.$$

A hypersurface M defined by the homogeneous polynomial $F = 0$ of degree d has positive first Chern class if $d \leq n$. In fact, M has nonzero holomorphic vector fields.

A summary of the proof is as follows. The first step is to find the potential forms f_q defined in (1.1) for $1 \leq q \leq n-1$. In order to do this, we compute the elementary symmetric polynomials by using the curvature tensors of the hypersurface in terms of local coordinates. Then we find the extra holomorphic forms so that the potentials f_q can be expressed globally. The second step is to evaluate the Bando-Futaki invariants. We take the contraction of (1.1) with vector field X. Then we may write the resulting function as a $\bar\partial$-equation of $(q-1, q-1)$-forms. That is,

$$\bar\partial[-q\tilde P^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta) + q\alpha_{qq}\theta\omega^{q-1} - i(X)\partial f_q] = 0,$$

where θ is the Hamiltonian function of ω, $\tilde P^q$ is the polarization of the q-th elementary symmetric polynomial, and α_{qq} is a constant. We denote that $\omega_M = (n+1-d)\omega = (n+1-d)\omega_{FS}|_M$, where ω_{FS} is the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{CP}^n$. By Hodge decomposition, we have

$$-q\tilde P^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta) + q\alpha_{qq}\theta\omega^{q-1} - i(X)\partial f_q = \psi_q + \bar\partial\varphi_q,$$

where ψ_q is the harmonic part and $\bar\partial\varphi_q$ is the exact part. In Lemma 2.4, we show that $\psi_q = C(q)\omega^{q-1}$ for some constant $C(q)$. In Lemma 2.7, we prove that

$$q\int_M \tilde P^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta) \wedge \omega_M^{n-q} = 0. \qquad (1.3)$$ 101

Then we reduce (1.2) to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_q(X) &= \int_M L_X(f_q) \wedge \omega_M^{n-q} \\ &= q\alpha_{qq}(n+1-d)^{n-q}\int_M \theta\omega^{n-1} - C(q)(n+1-q)^{n-q}\int_M \omega^{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

By [13, Theorem 5.1], we have

$$\int_M \theta\omega^{n-1} = \frac{\kappa}{n} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_M \omega^{n-1} = d.$$

Thus the Bando-Futaki invariant is

$$\int_M L_X f_q \wedge \omega^{n-q} = (n+1-d)^{n-q}\left(\frac{q\alpha_{qq}\kappa}{n} - C(q)d\right).$$

It can be computed explicitly. We have overcome two obstacles in our proof. The first obstacle is to show the equality holds in (1.3). Note that it is not true for any invariant polynomial φ such that

$$\int_M \varphi(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta) \wedge \omega^{n-q} = 0.{}^{1}.$$

For $q = 1$, it is trivial to see

$$\int_M \tilde{P}^1(\nabla X) \wedge \omega_M^{n-1} = \int_M \operatorname{div}(X)\omega_M^{n-1} = \int_M L_X(\omega_M^{n-1}) = 0.$$

However, equation (1.3) is an expected result for the polarization $\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta)$ of the elementary polynomial whenever $2 \leq q \leq n-1$. Without this result, it would be very difficult to find the formula. The second obstacle is to compute $C(q)$ and write φ_q as a globally defined forms.

Corollary 1.1 (Lu[13]). *Given the conditions of Theorem 1.1 and $q = 1$, the first Bando-Futaki invariant is the same as the Futaki invariant given as*

$$\mathcal{F}_1(X) = -(n+1-d)^{n-1}\frac{(n+1)(d-1)}{n}\kappa.$$

Our method can be applied, in principle, to the case of complete intersections and toric varieties (Mabuchi). However, the computation is complete using the current notations. Therefore our goal is to create an abstract setting from our current ideas before applying the invariant formula to more general cases.

In [7], the Futaki invariants (and Bando-Futaki invariants) are formularized as equivariant Chern numbers, which can be computed using Atiyah-Bott localization formula. The method used in this paper is completely different from Atiyah and Bott's method and is an alternative way to compute the equivariant Chern numbers. We believe our method can be applied to infinite dimensions; for example, in Gromov-Witten invariants.

Remark 1.1. *All Bando-Futaki invariants on a hypersurface vanish if the hypersurface is K-semistable.*

Here we re-state the definition [14]:

Definition 1.2. *We say M is K semistable if any holomorphic tangent vector field X on M satisfies*

$$\lim_{t\to 0} t\frac{d}{dt}M(\omega_0, \omega_t) \geq 0, \qquad (1.4) \qquad \boxed{3}$$

where $M(\omega_0, \omega_t)$ is the K energy with respect to ω and ω_t (definition is given in section 4), and $\sigma(t)^*\omega_0 = \omega_t$, where the one parametor family of automorphism $\sigma(t)$ is generated by the holomorphic vector field X.

In section 4, we study the holomorphic invariants that were introduced by Chen and Tian [4].

Definition 1.3. *Let M be an n-dimensional simply-connected Kähler manifold with a Kähler form ω. Since M is simply-connected, there exists a smooth function θ_X*

---

[1] If $\varphi(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta) \wedge \omega^{n-q} = \mathrm{divX} \cdot (\mathrm{c}_1(\Theta))^{\mathrm{n}-1}$, then $\int_M \varphi(\nabla, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta) \wedge \omega^{n-q} \neq 0$

such that $i(X)\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\bar\partial\theta_X$[2]. *Define a new holomorphic invariant to be*

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_k(X,\omega)\\
&\quad = (n-k)\int_M \theta_X\omega^n + (k+1)\int_M \Delta\theta_X Ric(\omega)^k\wedge\omega^{n-k}\\
&\quad -(n-k)\int_M \theta_X Ric(\omega)^{k+1}\wedge\omega^{n-k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

1.2 **Theorem 1.2.** *If M is compact with a Kähler form $\omega \in c_1(M) > 0$, then the Chen-Tian's holomorphic invariants are the Futaki invariants:*

$$F_k(X,\omega) = (k+1)\int_M X(f_\omega)\omega^n,$$

where f_ω is a potential function such that $Ric(\omega)-\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial f_\omega$

The K-energy is a nonlinearization of the Futaki invariant. And also it is mentioned in C-T [4] that the nonlinearizations of these holomorphic invariants are

$$E_{k,\omega} = E^0_{k,\omega}(\varphi) - J_{k,\omega},$$

where

$$E^0_{k,\omega}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\int_M\omega^n}\int_M\left(\log\frac{\omega_\varphi^n}{\omega^n} - f_\omega\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^k Ric(\omega_\varphi)^i\wedge\omega^{k-i}\right)\wedge\omega_\varphi^{n-k},$$

and

$$J_\omega(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\int_M\omega^n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_M\frac{i+1}{n+1}\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\varphi\wedge\bar\partial\varphi\wedge\omega^i\wedge_\varphi^{n-1-i},$$

where $\omega_\varphi = \omega + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\varphi > 0$ for some smooth function φ and $0\le k\le n$. We can see that the Futaki invariants can have different nonlinearizations.

Acknowledgements. This paper will be part of my PhD. thesis. The author thanks her advisor Z. Lu for his mathematical insights and assistance during the preparation of this paper. She also thanks Professor Phong for his encouragement and Professor Paul for pointing out the effect of K semistable hypersurfaces on Bando-Futaki invariants.

2. Bando-Futaki invariants

2.1. **Curvature Tensor on Hypersurfaces.** The following setting is adopted from TG1 [18] and LZ2 [14]. Let $Z=[Z_0,\cdots,Z_n]$ be the homogeneous coordinate of $\mathbb{CP}^n$. Let $U_0 = \{Z\in\mathbb{CP}^n | Z_0\neq 0\}$ and $z=(z_1,\cdots,z_n)$, where $z_i = Z_i/Z_0$ for $i = 1,\cdots,n$. Without loss of generality, assume that we work on the coordinate chart (U_0,z). Under this coordinate system, the Fubini-Study metric is given by

$$\omega_{FS} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{i\bar j}dz_i\wedge d\bar z_j = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{i,j=1}^n\left(\frac{\delta_{ij}}{1+|z|^2} - \frac{\bar z_i z_j}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\right)dz_i\wedge d\bar z_j,$$

where $|z|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2$. Let f be the defining polynomial of M on U_0, where

$$f(z) = F\left[1,\frac{Z_1}{Z_0},\cdots,\frac{Z_n}{Z_0}\right] = \frac{1}{Z_0^d}F[Z_0,\cdots,Z_n].$$

---

[2] In order to keep the original definition, we use the equation $\theta_X = -\theta$, which is the opposite sign from the Hamiltonian function θ defined as above.

By the implicit function theorem, there exists an open set $V \subseteq \{(z_2, \cdots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}\}$ of U_0 such that z' solves the equation $f(z_1, \cdots, z_n) = 0$ whenever $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(z) \neq 0$. Let $z_1 = z'(z_2, \cdots, z_n)$. Therefore, z_1 is a holomorphic function on V. Under the coordinate system $(V, (z_2, \cdots, z_n))$, let the restricted Kähler form be

$$\omega = \omega_{FS}\Big|_M = \sum_{i,j=2}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j,$$

where

$$\tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{\delta_{ij} + a_i \bar{a}_j}{1+|z|^2} - \frac{(\bar{z}_i + \bar{z}_1 a_i)(z_j + z_1 \bar{a}_j)}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \qquad \text{for } i, j = 2, \cdots, n, \tag{2.1}$$ 4

where $a_i = \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial z_i}$ for $i = 2, \cdots, n$. In order to compute the curvature tensor with respect to the metric $\tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}}$, it is critical to find the inverse matrix of $\tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}}$.

lem2.1 **Lemma 2.1.** *Using the same notation as above, the inverse of* $\tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}}$ *is*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}^{i\bar{j}} &= \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\rho(1+|z|^2)\delta_{ji} - a_j \bar{a}_i + \bar{z}_j z_i (1+|a|^2)\right) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{\rho}\left(a_j z_i (\sum_{k=2}^{n} \bar{a}_k \bar{z}_k - \bar{z}_1) + \bar{z}_j \bar{a}_i (\sum_{k=2}^{n} a_k z_k - z_1)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} |F_k|^2}{(1+|z|^2)|F_1|^2}$, $|a|^2 = \sum_{i=2}^{n} |a_i|^2$, *and* $F_k = \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z_k}$ *for* $k = 0, 1 \cdots, n$.

Proof. Consider $\tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}}$ as a matrix A_{ij} for $2 \leq i, j \leq n$. Since $\tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}}$ is a matrix of a linear combination of matrices δ_{ij}, $a_i \bar{a}_j$, $\bar{z}_i z_j$, $a_i z_j$, and $\bar{z}_i \bar{a}_j$ pointwisely, its adjugate and inverse can be written as linear combinations of δ_{ij}, $a_i \bar{a}_j$, $\bar{z}_i z_j$, $a_i z_j$, and $\bar{z}_i \bar{a}_j$ pointwisely. More clearly, let $A_{ij} = (\gamma_1 \delta_{ij} + \gamma_2 a_i \bar{a}_j + \gamma_3 \bar{z}_i z_j + \gamma_4 a_i z_j + \gamma_5 \bar{z}_i \bar{a}_j)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} &(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \gamma_5) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{1+|z|^2}, \frac{1+|z|^2 - |z_1|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^2}, -\frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^2}, -\frac{\bar{z}_1}{(1+|z|^2)^2}, -\frac{z_1}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$ 2.2

By definition, the adjugate matrix is given by

$$(\mathrm{adj}A)_{ji} = (-1)^{i+j} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-2}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) A_{i_{\sigma(3)} j_3} \cdots A_{i_{\sigma(n)} j_n},$$

where S_{n-2} are all permutations of $\{i_3, \cdots, i_n\} = \{2, \cdots, n\} - \{i\}$ and
$\{j_3, j_4, \cdots, j_n\} = \{2, \cdots, n\} - \{j\}$. There exists functions $\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_5$ such that

$$(\mathrm{adj}A)_{ji} = (\eta_1 \delta_{ji} + \eta_2 a_j \bar{a}_i + \eta_3 \bar{z}_j z_i + \eta_4 a_j z_i + \eta_5 \bar{z}_j \bar{a}_i).$$

Apply the following formulas given in LZ2 [14]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{n} a_k z_k - z_1 &= \frac{F_0}{F_1}, \\ 1 + |a|^2 + \Big|\sum_{k=2}^{n} a_k z_k - z_1\Big|^2 &= \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} |F_k|^2}{|F_1|^2}, \\ \det \tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}} &= \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^n} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} |F_k|^2}{|F_1|^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$ 6

Then we can compute the coefficients $\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_5$ by solving the following linear equation system

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}}\tilde{g}^{k\bar{j}} &= \tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}}\frac{1}{\det \tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}}}(\mathrm{adj}A)_{jk} \\
&= \frac{1}{\det \tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}}}(\gamma_1\delta_{ij} + \gamma_2 a_i\bar{a}_j + \gamma_3\bar{z}_i z_j + \gamma_4 a_i z_j + \gamma_5\bar{z}_i\bar{a}_j) \\
&\quad \times(\eta_1\delta_{jk} + \eta_2 a_j\bar{a}_k + \eta_3\bar{z}_j z_k + \eta_4 a_j z_k + \eta_5\bar{z}_j\bar{a}_k) \\
&= \delta_{ik}. \qquad (2.4)
\end{aligned}$$

`2.3`

Furthermore, we may rewrite (2.4) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2|a|^2 + \gamma_4\sum_{j=2}^{n} a_j z_j)\eta_2 + (\gamma_2\sum_{j=2}^{n}\bar{a}_j\bar{z}_j + \gamma_4\sum_{j=2}^{n}|z_j|^2)\eta_5 = -\gamma_2\eta_1 \\
&(\gamma_1 + \gamma_3\sum_{j=2}^{n}|z_j|^2 + \gamma_5\sum_{j=2}^{n}\bar{a}_j\bar{z}_j)\eta_3 + (\gamma_5|a|^2 + \gamma_3\sum_{j=2}^{n} a_j z_j)\eta_4 = -\gamma_3\eta_1 \\
&(\gamma_2\sum_{j=2}^{n}\bar{a}_j\bar{z}_j + \gamma_4\sum_{j=2}^{n}|z_j|^2)\eta_3 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2|a|^2 + \gamma_4\sum_{j=2}^{n} a_j z_j)\eta_4 = -\gamma_4\eta_1 \\
&(\gamma_3\sum_{j=2}^{n} a_j z_j + \gamma_5|a|^2)\eta_2 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_3\sum_{j=2}^{n}|z_j|^2 + \gamma_5\sum_{j=2}^{n}\bar{a}_j\bar{z}_j)\eta_5 = -\gamma_5\eta_1,
\end{aligned} \qquad (2.5)$$

`2.4`

where $\eta_1 = \det\tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}}\frac{1}{\gamma_1} = (1+|z|^2)$. Hence by using (2.2) and (2.4), the solutions for (2.5) are

$$(\eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4, \eta_5) = (1+|z|^2)^{n-1}\left(-1, 1+|a|^2, -(\sum_{k=2}^{n}\bar{a}_k\bar{z}_k - \bar{z}_1), -(\sum_{k=2}^{n} a_k z_k - z_1)\right),$$

where $\rho = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}|F_k|^2}{(1+|z|^2)|F_1|^2}$. Then we may obtain

$$\tilde{g}^{i\bar{j}} = \frac{1}{\det\tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}}}\left(\eta_1\delta_{ji} + \eta_2 a_j\bar{a}_i + \eta_3\bar{z}_j z_i + \eta_4 a_j z_i + \eta_5\bar{z}_j\bar{a}_i\right).$$

□

The following Lemma is important for computing higher order Chern forms of the hypersurface M and for evaluating the Bando-Futaki invariants.

`lem2.2` **Lemma 2.2.** *The curvature of the hypersurface is*

$$\sum_{i,j=2}^{n} R^{\ell}_{ki\bar{j}}dz_i\wedge d\bar{z}_j = \sum_{i,j=2}^{n}\left(\delta_{k\ell}\tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}} + \delta_{i\ell}\tilde{g}_{k\bar{j}} - \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_i}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_j}\tilde{g}^{\ell\bar{s}}\right)dz_i\wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

for $2\le k,\ell\le n$, *where* $\rho = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}|F_k|^2}{(1+|z|^2)|F_1|^2}$.

Proof. Recall the curvature formula

$$\begin{aligned}
R^{\ell}_{ki\bar{j}} &= -\bar{\partial}_j(\frac{\partial\tilde{g}_{k\bar{s}}}{\partial z_i}\tilde{g}^{\ell\bar{s}}) \\
&= -\frac{\partial^2\tilde{g}_{k\bar{s}}}{\partial z_i\partial\bar{z}_j}\tilde{g}^{\ell\bar{s}} + \frac{\partial\tilde{g}_{k\bar{q}}}{\partial z_i}\frac{\bar{\partial}\tilde{g}_{p\bar{s}}}{\partial\bar{z}_j}\tilde{g}^{p\bar{q}}\tilde{g}^{\ell\bar{s}} \qquad (2.6)
\end{aligned}$$

`7`

on Kähler manifolds. By using the metric $\tilde g_{i\bar j}$ defined in $(\overset{\texttt{4}}{2.1})$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\tilde g_{k\bar q}}{\partial z_i} = -\frac{\bar z_i+\bar z_1 a_i}{1+|z|^2}\tilde g_{k\bar q} - \frac{\bar z_k+\bar z_1 a_k}{1+|z|^2}\tilde g_{i\bar q} + \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_i}\frac{\big(1+|z|^2-|z_1|^2\big)\bar a_q - \bar z_1 z_q}{(1+|z|^2)^2}, \qquad (2.7) \quad \boxed{\texttt{8}}$$

$$\frac{\partial\tilde g_{p\bar s}}{\partial \bar z_j} = -\frac{z_j+z_1 \bar a_j}{1+|z|^2}\tilde g_{p\bar s} - \frac{z_s+z_1 \bar a_s}{1+|z|^2}\tilde g_{p\bar j} + \frac{\partial \bar a_s}{\partial \bar z_j}\frac{\big(1+|z|^2-|z_1|^2\big)a_p - z_1 \bar z_p}{(1+|z|^2)^2}. \qquad (2.8) \quad \boxed{\texttt{9}}$$

Use $(\overset{\texttt{9}}{2.8})$ to compute second derivative

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2\tilde g_{k\bar s}}{\partial z_i\partial\bar z_j} \quad = \quad & -\tilde g_{i\bar j}\tilde g_{k\bar s} - \tilde g_{i\bar s}\tilde g_{k\bar j}\\
&+\frac{(z_j+z_1\bar a_j)(\bar z_i+\bar z_1 a_i)}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\tilde g_{k\bar s} + \frac{(z_j+z_1\bar a_j)(\bar z_k+\bar z_1 a_k)}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\tilde g_{i\bar s}\\
&+\frac{(z_s+z_1\bar a_s)(\bar z_i+\bar z_1 a_i)}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\tilde g_{k\bar j} + \frac{(z_s+z_1\bar a_s)(\bar z_k+\bar z_1 a_k)}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\tilde g_{i\bar j}\\
&-\frac{(z_j+z_1\bar a_j)}{1+|z|^2}\frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_i}\frac{\big(1+|z|^2-|z_1|^2\big)\bar a_s - \bar z_1 z_s}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\\
&-\frac{(z_s+z_1\bar a_s)}{1+|z|^2}\frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_i}\frac{\big(1+|z|^2-|z_1|^2\big)\bar a_j - \bar z_1 z_j}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\\
&+\frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_i}\frac{\partial \bar a_s}{\partial \bar z_j}\frac{1+|z|^2-|z_1|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^2} + \frac{\partial \bar a_s}{\partial \bar z_j}\frac{a_k\bar z_i - a_i\bar z_k}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\\
&-2\frac{\partial \bar a_s}{\partial \bar z_j}(\bar z_i+\bar z_1 a_i)\frac{\big(1+|z|^2-|z_1|^2\big)a_k - z_1\bar z_k}{(1+|z|^2)^3}.\end{aligned} \qquad (2.9) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.6}}$$

By using $(\overset{\texttt{8}}{2.7})$ and $(\overset{\texttt{9}}{2.8})$ in $(\overset{\texttt{2.6}}{2.9})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2\tilde g_{k\bar s}}{\partial z_i\partial\bar z_j} &= -\tilde g_{i\bar j}\tilde g_{k\bar s} - \tilde g_{i\bar s}\tilde g_{k\bar j} + \frac{\partial\tilde g_{k\bar q}}{\partial z_i}\frac{\bar\partial\tilde g_{p\bar s}}{\partial\bar z_j}\tilde g^{p\bar q} + \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_i}\frac{\partial \bar a_s}{\partial \bar z_j}\frac{1+|z|^2-|z_1|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\\
&-\frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_i}\frac{\partial \bar a_s}{\partial \bar z_j}\left(\frac{(1+|z|^2-|z_1|^2)a_p - z_1\bar z_p}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\right)\left(\frac{(1+|z|^2-|z_1|^2)\bar a_q - \bar z_1 z_q}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\right)\tilde g^{p\bar q}.\end{aligned} \qquad (2.10) \quad \boxed{\texttt{10}}$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{(1+|z|^2-|z_1|^2)a_p - z_1\bar z_p}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\right)\left(\frac{(1+|z|^2-|z_1|^2)\bar a_q - \bar z_1 z_q}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\right)\tilde g^{p\bar q} - \frac{1+|z|^2-|z_1|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\\
&= -\frac{|F_1|^2}{(1+|z|^2)\sum_{k=0}^n|F_k|^2} = -\frac{1}{\rho}.\end{aligned} \qquad (2.11) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.11}}$$

By using $(\overset{\texttt{2.11}}{2.11})$ in $(\overset{\texttt{10}}{2.10})$, we get

$$-\frac{\partial^2\tilde g_{k\bar s}}{\partial z_i\partial\bar z_j}\tilde g^{\ell\bar s} + \frac{\partial\tilde g_{k\bar q}}{\partial z_i}\frac{\bar\partial\tilde g_{p\bar s}}{\partial\bar z_j}\tilde g^{p\bar q}\tilde g^{\ell\bar s} = \delta_{k\ell}\tilde g_{i\bar j} + \delta_{i\ell}\tilde g_{k\bar j} - \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_i}\frac{\partial \bar a_s}{\partial \bar z_j}\tilde g^{\ell\bar s}.$$

□

The Ricci curvature of a hypersurface has been shown in $\overset{\texttt{LZ2, TG1}}{[14, 18]}$. It is also directly followed from Lemma $\overset{\texttt{lem2.2}}{2.2}$.

re2.1 **Remark 2.1.** *Given the conditions as in Theorem* 1.1 *1.1, the Ricci curvature on the hypersurface is*

$$Ric(\omega) = (n+1-d)\omega - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi,$$

where

$$\xi = \log\left(\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}|F_k|^2}{(\sum_{k=0}^{n}|Z_k|^2)^{d-1}}\right).$$

Proof. The trace of the curvature form is the Ricci curvature. By using Lemma lem2.2 2.2, compute

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=2}^{n}\sum_{i,j=2}^{n} R^{k}_{ki\bar{j}}dz_i\wedge d\bar{z}_j &= \sum_{k=2}^{n}\sum_{i,j=2}^{n}\left(\delta_{kk}\tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}} + \delta_{ik}\tilde{g}_{k\bar{j}} - \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_i}\frac{\partial \bar{a}_s}{\partial \bar{z}_j}\tilde{g}^{k\bar{s}}\right)dz_i\wedge d\bar{z}_j\\ &= \sum_{i,j=2}^{n}\left(n\tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}} - \frac{1}{\rho}\sum_{k,s=2}^{n}\frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_i}\frac{\partial \bar{a}_s}{\partial \bar{z}_j}\tilde{g}^{k\bar{s}}\right)dz_i\wedge d\bar{z}_j,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{k,s=2}^{n}\frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_i}\frac{\partial \bar{a}_s}{\partial \bar{z}_j}\tilde{g}^{k\bar{s}} = \partial_i\bar{\partial}_j\log\left(\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}|F_k|^2}{|F_1|^2}\right).$$

We can find an extra globally defined function such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\log\left(\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}|F_k|^2}{|F_1|^2}\right) &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\log\left(\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}|F_k|^2}{(\sum_{k=0}^{n}|Z_k|^2)^{d-1}}\frac{(\sum_{k=0}^{n}|Z_k|^2)^{d-1}}{|F_1|^2}\right)\\ &= (d-1)\omega + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi.\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

10.1

□

2.2. Chern Forms.

lem2.3 **Lemma 2.3.** *Given the conditions in Theorem* 1.1 *1.1, the q-th Chern form on a hypersurface are*

$$c_q(\Theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{q}\alpha_{qk}\omega^k\wedge(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{q-k},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{qq} &= \binom{n+1}{q} - d\alpha_{(q-1)(q-1)},\\ \alpha_{q(q-k)} &= -[d\alpha_{(q-1)(q-k-1)} + \alpha_{(q-1)(q-k)}] \qquad \textit{for } k = 1,\cdots,q-1\\ \alpha_{q0} &= (-1)^q,\end{aligned}$$

for $q = 1,\cdots,n-1$*. Set that* $\alpha_{00} = 1$.

Before we prove this Lemma, we need several steps. Let $\Theta = \sum_{k,\ell=2}^{n}\Theta^{\ell}_{k}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_\ell}\otimes dz_k$ be the curvature tensor of M such that

$$\Theta^{\ell}_{k} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{i,j=2}^{n}R^{\ell}_{ki\bar{j}}dz_i\wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

represents a $(1,1)$-form valued matrix for $2\le k,\ell\le n$. In order to save some space, we denote the (k,k)-form valued matrix $\sum_{i_2,\cdot,i_k=2}^{n}\Theta^{i_2}_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\Theta^{i_{k+1}}_{i_k}$ by

$\sum_{i_2,\cdot,i_k=2}^{n}\Theta_{i_1}^{i_2}\cdots\Theta_{i_k}^{i_{k+1}}$. The q-th Chern polynomial defined in [10, page 402, 417] is

$$P^q(\Theta)=\frac{1}{q!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_q=2}^{n}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\Theta_{i_1}^{i_{\sigma(1)}}\Theta_{i_2}^{i_{\sigma(2)}}\cdots\Theta_{i_q}^{i_{\sigma(q)}}. \tag{2.13}$$ 11

In Sublemma 2.1, we derive a formula to compute higher order Chern polynomials in terms of the lower order Chern polynomials. The idea is as follows. Let

$$\phi_j=\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}\Theta_{i_1}^{i_2}\Theta_{i_2}^{i_3}\cdots\Theta_{i_j}^{i_1}$$

be a (j,j)-form corresponding to a cycle of order j. For each permutation $\sigma\in S_q$ not the identity is a product of cyclic permutations which operate on disjoint indices: $\sigma=\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k$. If $\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_k$ are cycles of length $j_1,\cdots,j_k$ with respectively, then $\Theta_{i_1}^{i_{\sigma(1)}}\cdots\Theta_{i_q}^{i_{\sigma(q)}}=\phi_{j_1}\cdots\phi_{j_k}$. For each $1\le\ell\le k$, we have $\sigma=\sigma_\ell\tau_\ell$ for the permutation $\tau_\ell=\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_{\ell-1}\sigma_{\ell+1}\cdots\sigma_k\in S_{q-j_\ell}$. Then $\operatorname{sgn}(\tau_\ell)\Theta_{i_1}^{i_{\tau_\ell(1)}}\cdots\Theta_{i_{q-j_\ell}}^{i_{\tau_\ell(q-j_\ell)}}$ is one term in the expansion of $P^{q-j_\ell}(\Theta)$ as in (2.13). We abuse the notation that τ_ℓ operates on $\{1,\cdots,q-j_\ell\}$. In fact, τ_ℓ operates on $q-j_\ell$ many indices different from σ_ℓ. Therefore, $\Theta_{i_1}^{i_{\sigma(1)}}\cdots\Theta_{i_q}^{i_{\sigma(q)}}$ can be written as one term in the expansion of $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_1)\phi_{j_1}P^{q-j_1}(\Theta),\cdots$, and $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_k)\phi_{j_k}P^{q-j_k}(\Theta)$. Then we conclude that $P^q(\Theta)=\sum_{j=1}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}a_j\phi_jP^{q-j}(\Theta)$ for some rational number a_j for $j=1,\cdots,q$.

sub2.1 **Sublemma 2.1.** *The q-th Chern polynomial can be written as*

$$P^q(\Theta)=\sum_{j=1}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}a_j\phi_jP^{q-j}(\Theta)=\frac{1}{q}\sum_{j=1}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}\phi_jP^{q-j}(\Theta) \tag{2.14}$$ 12

for $q=1,\cdots,n-1$. Let $P^0(\Theta)=1$ for convention.

Proof. Prove (2.14) by induction. For $q=1$, $P^1(\Theta)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\Theta_i^i=\phi_1$. Assume that $P^k(\Theta)=\frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j-1}\phi_jP^{k-j}(\Theta)$ holds for $k=2,\cdots,q-1$. Actually, there are $\binom{q}{j}(j-1)!$ many cycles of order j in S_q. For any positive integer numbers $j_1,\cdots,j_k$ such that $j_1+\cdots+j_k=q$, the coefficient for $\phi_{j_1}\cdots\phi_{j_k}$ on the left hand side of (2.14) is

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{1}{q!}\dbinom{q}{j_1}(j_1-1)!\dbinom{q-j_1}{j_2}(j_2-1)!\cdots\dbinom{j_k}{j_k}(j_k-1)!, & \text{if } j_t\neq j_s,\ 1\le s,t\le k\ ;\\ \dfrac{1}{\ell!q!}\dbinom{q}{j_1}(j_1-1)!\dbinom{q-j_1}{j_2}(j_2-1)!\cdots\dbinom{j_k}{j_k}(j_k-1)!, & \text{if } \exists\ell\le k,\ j_1=\cdots=j_\ell,\ ;\end{cases}$$

and so on. Therefore, the coefficient for ϕ_q is $a_q=\frac{1}{q!}\binom{q}{q}(q-1)!=\frac{1}{q}$. For $q>j>q/2$, the coefficient for $\phi_j\phi_{q-j}$ on the left hand side of (2.14) is $\frac{1}{q!}\binom{q}{j}(j-1)!(q-j-1)!=\frac{1}{j(q-j)}$. On the other hand, since the term $\phi_j\phi_{q-j}$ only appear in the expansion of $\phi_jP^{q-j}(\Theta)$ and $\phi_{q-j}P^j(\Theta)$. By using the induction hypothesis, compare the coefficient of $\phi_j\phi_{q-j}$ on the both hand side of (2.14). That is,

$$\frac{1}{j(q-j)}=\frac{a_j}{q-j}+\frac{a_{q-j}}{j}. \tag{2.15}$$ 12.1

If $q = 2k$ for some integer k, the coefficient of $\phi_k\phi_k$ is

$$\frac{1}{2!q!}\binom{q}{k}(k-1)!(k-1)! = \frac{1}{k}a_k$$

since $\phi_k\phi_k$ only appear in $\phi_k P^k(\Theta)$. Then $a_k = \frac{1}{2k} = \frac{1}{q}$. For $j = q-2$, since $\phi_{q-2}\phi_1\phi_1$ only appear once in $\phi_{q-2}P^2(\Theta)$ and twice in $\phi_1 P^{q-2}(\Theta)$, the coefficient is

$$\frac{1}{2(q-2)} = \frac{a_{q-2}}{2} + \frac{a_1}{q-1} + \frac{a_1}{(q-1)(q-2)} \tag{2.16}$$

12.6

Furthermore, for $q-2 > j > q-j-1 > 1$, the term $\phi_j\phi_{q-j-1}\phi_1$ appear twice $\phi_j P^{q-j}(\Theta)$, $\phi_{q-j-1}P^{j+1}(\Theta)$ and $\phi_1 P^{q-1}(\Theta)$. The coefficient for $\phi_j\phi_{q-j-1}\phi_1$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{j(q-j-1)}\\
&= \frac{a_j}{q-j} + \frac{a_j}{(q-j)(q-j-1)} + \frac{a_{q-j-1}}{j+1} + \frac{a_{q-j-1}}{(j+1)j} + \frac{a_1}{(q-1)(q-j-1)} + \frac{a_1}{(q-1)j}\\
&= \frac{a_j}{q-j-1} + \frac{a_{q-j-1}}{j} + \frac{a_1}{(q-j-1)j}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

12.2

By using ($\overset{12.1}{2.15}$), ($\overset{12.6}{2.16}$), and ($\overset{12.2}{2.17}$), we obtain the relation of a_j

$$a_{q-1} = t \tag{2.18}$$

12.3

$$a_{q-j} = \frac{-(j-1)+j(q-1)t}{q-j} \qquad \text{for } j > q/2 \tag{2.19}$$

12.4

$$a_j = 1-(q-1)t \qquad \text{for } j < q/2. \tag{2.20}$$

12.5

The term $\underbrace{\phi_1\cdots\phi_1}_{q}$ only appear in $\phi_1 P^{q-1}(\Theta)$, the coefficient of it is

$$\frac{1}{q!q!}\binom{q}{1}\binom{q-1}{1}\cdots\binom{1}{1} = \frac{1}{q!} = \frac{a_1}{(q-1)(q-2)\cdots 1}.$$

We get $a_1 = \frac{1}{q}$. By using ($\overset{12.3}{2.18}$), ($\overset{12.4}{2.19}$) and ($\overset{12.5}{2.20}$), we obtain $a_j = \frac{1}{q}$ for $j = 2,\cdots,q$. □

Therefore, we only need to compute ϕ_j for $j = 2,\cdots,q$ and use ($\overset{12}{2.14}$) to formulate $P^q(\Theta)$.

sub2.2 **Sublemma 2.2.** *For $3 \le j \le q$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_{j-1}=2}^{n} \Theta_{i_1}^{i_2}\cdots\Theta_{i_{j-1}}^{i_j} &= \omega \sum_{i_3,\cdots,i_{j-1}=2}^{n} \Theta_{i_1}^{i_3}\Theta_{i_3}^{i_4}\cdots\Theta_{i_{j-1}}^{i_j}\\
&\quad - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\rho}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=2}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial a_{i_1}}{\partial z_\alpha}\frac{\partial \bar a_s}{\partial \bar z_\beta}\tilde g^{i_j\bar s}dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar z_\beta\right)\wedge\left(d\omega + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\right)^{j-2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n |F_k|^2}{(1+|z|^2)|F_1|^2}$, $\xi = \log\left(\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n |F_k|^2}{(\sum_{k=0}^n |Z_k|^2)^{d-1}}\right)$, *and* $\Theta_i^j = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=2}^n R^j_{i\alpha\bar\beta}dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar z_\beta$.

Proof. Prove by induction. For $j=3$, use Lemma $\overset{\texttt{lem2.2}}{2.2}$ and ($\overset{\texttt{10.1}}{2.12}$) to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i_2=2}^{n}\Theta_{i_1}^{i_2}\Theta_{i_2}^{i_3} &= (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi})^2\sum_{i_2,\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\eta,s,t=2}^{n}\Big(\delta_{i_1i_2}\tilde{g}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}+\delta_{\alpha i_2}\tilde{g}_{i_1\bar{\beta}}-\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial a_{i_1}}{\partial z_\alpha}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_\beta}\tilde{g}^{i_2\bar{s}}\Big)\\
&\qquad\cdot\Big(\delta_{i_2i_3}\tilde{g}_{\lambda\bar{\eta}}+\delta_{\lambda i_3}\tilde{g}_{i_2\bar{\eta}}-\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial a_{i_2}}{\partial z_\lambda}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_t}{\partial\bar{z}_\eta}\tilde{g}^{i_3\bar{t}}\Big)dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar{z}_\beta\wedge dz_\lambda\wedge d\bar{z}_\eta\\
&\qquad=(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi})^2\sum_{i_2,\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\eta=2}^{n}\Big[\delta_{i_1i_3}\tilde{g}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\tilde{g}_{\lambda\bar{\eta}}+\delta_{i_3\lambda}\tilde{g}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\tilde{g}_{i_1\bar{\eta}}\\
&\qquad-\frac{1}{\rho}\sum_{t=2}^{n}\frac{\partial a_{i_1}}{\partial z_\lambda}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_t}{\partial\bar{z}_\eta}\tilde{g}^{i_3\bar{t}}\tilde{g}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}-\frac{1}{\rho}\sum_{s=2}^{n}\frac{\partial a_{i_1}}{\partial z_\alpha}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_\beta}\tilde{g}^{i_3\bar{s}}\tilde{g}_{\lambda\bar{\eta}}\\
&\qquad+\frac{1}{\rho^2}\sum_{s,t=2}^{n}\Big(\frac{\partial a_{i_1}}{\partial z_\alpha}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_t}{\partial\bar{z}_\eta}\tilde{g}^{i_3\bar{t}}\Big)\Big(\frac{\partial a_{i_2}}{\partial z_\lambda}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_\beta}\tilde{g}^{i_2\bar{s}}\Big)\Big]dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar{z}_\beta\wedge dz_\lambda\wedge d\bar{z}_\eta\\
&\qquad=\omega\wedge\Theta_{i_1}^{i_3}-\frac{1}{\rho}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,s=2}^{n}\frac{\partial a_{i_1}}{\partial z_\alpha}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_\beta}\tilde{g}^{i_3\bar{s}}dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar{z}_\beta\wedge\omega\\
-(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi})^2&\sum_{\alpha,\beta,t,\lambda,\eta=2}^{n}\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial a_{i_1}}{\partial z_\alpha}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_t}{\partial\bar{z}_\eta}\tilde{g}^{i_3\bar{t}}\Big(\partial_\lambda\bar{\partial}_\beta\log\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}|F_k|^2}{|F_1|^2}\Big)dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar{z}_\eta\wedge dz_\lambda\wedge d\bar{z}_\beta\\
&=\omega\wedge\Theta_{i_1}^{i_3}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\rho}\sum_{\alpha,\eta,t=2}^{n}\Big(\frac{\partial a_{i_1}}{\partial z_\alpha}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_t}{\partial\bar{z}_\eta}\tilde{g}^{i_3\bar{t}}dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar{z}_\eta\Big)\wedge(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi). \qquad (2.21)\quad\boxed{\texttt{2.13}}
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the statement is true for $j-1$. By induction hypothesis, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_{j-1}=2}^{n}\Theta_{i_1}^{i_2}\cdots\Theta_{i_{j-1}}^{i_j} &= \Big(\omega\wedge\sum_{i_3,\cdots,i_{j-1}=2}^{n}\Theta_{i_1}^{i_3}\Theta_{i_3}^{i_4}\cdots\Theta_{i_{j-2}}^{i_{j-1}}\Big)\Theta_{i_{j-1}}^{i_j}\\
&-\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\rho}\sum_{s,\alpha,\beta=2}^{n}\Big(\frac{\partial a_{i_1}}{\partial z_\alpha}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_\beta}\tilde{g}^{i_{j-1}\bar{s}}dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar{z}_\beta\Big)\wedge(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{j-3}\right)\wedge\Theta_{i_{j-1}}^{i_j}\\
&=\omega\wedge\sum_{i_3,\cdots,i_{j-1}=2}^{n}\Theta_{i_1}^{i_3}\Theta_{i_3}^{i_4}\cdots\Theta_{i_{j-1}}^{i_j}\\
&\quad-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\rho}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=2}^{n}\Big(\frac{\partial a_{i_1}}{\partial z_\alpha}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_t}{\partial\bar{z}_\beta}\tilde{g}^{i_j\bar{t}}dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar{z}_\beta\Big)\wedge(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{j-2},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i_{j-1},\alpha,\beta,s=2}^{n}&\Big(\frac{\partial a_{i_1}}{\partial z_\alpha}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_\beta}\tilde{g}^{i_{j-1}\bar{s}}dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar{z}_\beta\Big)\wedge\Theta_{i_{j-1}}^{i_j}\\
&=\sum_{\alpha,\beta,s=2}^{n}\Big(\frac{\partial a_{i_1}}{\partial z_\alpha}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_\beta}\tilde{g}^{i_j\bar{s}}dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar{z}_\beta\Big)\wedge(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi) \qquad (2.22)\quad\boxed{\texttt{2.14}}
\end{aligned}$$

is part of ($\overset{\texttt{2.13}}{2.21}$). We omit the detail of the proof. □

thm2.1 **Theorem 2.1.** *With the curvature given in Lemma* lem2.2 *2.2, the trace of the wedge product of j many curvature tensors on the hypersurface M is*

$$\Theta^{i_2}_{i_1}\cdots\Theta^{i_j}_{i_{j-1}}\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}=(n+1)\omega^j-(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi)^j$$

for $2\le j\le q$.

Proof. Prove by induction. For $j=2$, compute it directly from (2.13 2.21). That is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i_1,i_2=2}^{n}\Theta^{i_2}_{i_1}\Theta^{i_1}_{i_2} &= \omega\wedge\big((n+1-d)\omega-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)\\ &\quad-\big((d-1)\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)\big(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)\\ &= (n+1)\omega^2-(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose the statement is true for $j-1$. By Sublemma sub2.2 2.2, the induction hypothesis and (2.14 2.22), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\Theta^{i_2}_{i_1}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_j}_{i_{j-1}}\Big)\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\\ &=\omega\wedge\Theta^{i_3}_{i_1}\Theta^{i_4}_{i_3}\cdots\Theta^{i_j}_{i_{j-1}}\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\\ &\quad-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,s=2}^{n}\frac{\partial a_{i_1}}{\partial z_\alpha}\frac{\partial\bar a_s}{\partial\bar z_\beta}\tilde g^{i_j\bar s}dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar z_\beta\wedge(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi)^{j-2}\wedge\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\\ &=\omega\wedge[(n+1)\omega^{j-1}-(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi)^{j-1}]\\ &\quad-[(d-1)\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi]\wedge(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi)^{j-1}\\ &=(n+1)\omega^j-(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi)^j.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma lem2.3 *2.3.* Prove by induction. For $q=1$,

$$P^1(\Theta)=(n+1-d)\omega-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi=\alpha_{10}\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi+\alpha_{11}\omega,$$

where $\alpha_{10}=(-1)$, $\alpha_{11}=\binom{n+1}{1}-d\alpha_{00}$ and $\alpha_{00}=1$. Suppose that

$$P^i(\Theta)=\sum_{k=0}^{i}\alpha_{ik}\omega^k\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{i-k}$$

holds for $2\le i\le q-1$. By Sublemma sub2.1 2.1, Theorem thm2.1 2.1 and induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}P^q(\Theta)&=\sum_{j=1}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}\phi_jP^{q-j}(\Theta)\\ &=\sum_{j=1}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}[(n+1)\omega^j-(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi)^j]\sum_{k=0}^{q-j}\alpha_{(q-j)k}\omega^k\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-j-k},\end{aligned}\tag{2.23}$$

P

where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{(q-j)k} &= \begin{cases} -(d\alpha_{(q-j-1)(k-1)} + \alpha_{(q-j-1)k}), & \text{if } k < q-j; \\ \binom{n+1}{q-j} - d\alpha_{(q-j-1)(q-j-1)}, & k = q-j. \end{cases} \\ &= (-1)^{q-j} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \binom{q-j-\ell}{k-\ell} (-1)^{\ell} d^{k-\ell} \binom{n+1}{\ell} \qquad (2.24) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.15}}\end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \cdots, q-1$. We rewrite ($\overset{\texttt{P}}{2.23}$) as $P^q(\Theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{q} \alpha_{qk}\omega^k \big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi\big)^{q-k}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{qk} &= \frac{1}{q}\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j-1}(n+1-d^j)\alpha_{(q-j)(k-j)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q}\sum_{i=1}^{q-k}\sum_{j=i}^{k+i}(-1)^j d^{j-i}\binom{j}{j-i}\alpha_{(q-j)(k-j+i)}. \qquad (2.25) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.151}}\end{aligned}$$

By using ($\overset{\texttt{2.15}}{2.24}$), we compute ($\overset{\texttt{2.151}}{2.25}$)

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{qk} = \frac{n+1}{q}(-1)^{q-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-j}\binom{q-j-\ell}{k-j-\ell}(-1)^{\ell}d^{k-j-\ell}\binom{n+1}{\ell} \qquad (2.26) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.16}} \\ + \frac{(-1)^q}{q}\sum_{i=0}^{q-k}\sum_{j=i}^{k+i}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-j+i}(-1)^{\ell}d^{k-\ell}\binom{j}{j-i}\binom{q-j-\ell}{k-j+i-\ell}\binom{n+1}{\ell} \quad (2.27) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.18}} \\ - \frac{(-1)^q}{q}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k}\binom{q-\ell}{k}\binom{n+1}{\ell}d^{k-\ell}(-1)^{\ell}. \qquad (2.28) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.17}}\end{aligned}$$

In fact, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\lambda-1}(-1)^{\ell}\binom{n+1}{\ell} = (-1)^{\lambda-1}\binom{n}{\lambda-1}. \qquad (2.29) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.161}}$$

We change the index in ($\overset{\texttt{2.16}}{2.26}$) and use ($\overset{\texttt{2.161}}{2.29}$) to get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{n+1}{q}(-1)^{q-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-j}\binom{q-j-\ell}{k-j-\ell}(-1)^{\ell}d^{k-j-\ell}\binom{n+1}{\ell} \\ &= \frac{n+1}{q}(-1)^{q-1}\sum_{\lambda=1}^{k}\binom{q-\lambda}{k-\lambda}d^{k-\lambda}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\lambda-1}(-1)^{\ell}\binom{n+1}{\ell} \\ &= \frac{n+1}{q}(-1)^{q-1}\sum_{\lambda=1}^{k}\binom{q-\lambda}{k-\lambda}d^{k-\lambda}(-1)^{\lambda-1}\binom{n}{\lambda-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{q}(-1)^{q}\sum_{\lambda=1}^{k}\binom{q-\lambda}{k-\lambda}d^{k-\lambda}(-1)^{\lambda}\lambda\binom{n+1}{\lambda}. \qquad (2.30) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.20}}\end{aligned}$$

Change the summing order in ($\overset{\texttt{2.18}}{2.27}$) and get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(-1)^q}{q}\sum_{i=0}^{q-k}\sum_{j=i}^{k+i}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-j+i}(-1)^\ell d^{k-\ell}\binom{j}{j-i}\binom{q-j-\ell}{k-j+i-\ell}\binom{n+1}{\ell}\\
&\quad=\frac{(-1)^q}{q}\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^\ell d^{k-\ell}\sum_{i=0}^{q-k}\sum_{\ell=0}^{j}\binom{k+i-j}{k-j}\binom{q-k-i+j-\ell}{j-\ell}\binom{n+1}{\ell}\\
&\quad=\frac{(-1)^q}{q}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k}(-1)^\ell d^{k-\ell}\sum_{j=\ell}^{k}\sum_{i=0}^{q-k}\binom{k+i-j}{k-j}\binom{q-k-i+j-\ell}{j-\ell}\binom{n+1}{\ell}. \qquad (2.31) \qquad \boxed{\texttt{2.21}}
\end{aligned}$$

In fact, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d}\binom{s+i}{s}=\binom{s+d+1}{d+1}. \qquad (2.32) \qquad \boxed{\texttt{2.211}}$$

Re-assemble the following term:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{q-k}\binom{k+i-j}{k-j}\binom{q-k-i+j-\ell}{j-\ell}&=\sum_{i=0}^{q-k}\binom{k+i-j}{k-j}\\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q-k}\sum_{i=0}^{q-k-s}\binom{k+i-j}{k-j}\left[\binom{s+j-\ell}{j-\ell}-\binom{s-1+j-\ell}{j-\ell}\right]. \qquad (2.33) \qquad \boxed{\texttt{2.212}}
\end{aligned}$$

Then we may apply ($\overset{\texttt{2.211}}{2.32}$) to each assorted item in ($\overset{\texttt{2.212}}{2.33}$) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=0}^{q-k}\binom{k+i-j}{k-j}\binom{q-k-i+j-\ell}{j-\ell}\\
&\qquad=\sum_{s=0}^{q-k}\binom{k+s-(j-1)}{k-(j-1)}\binom{q-k-s+(j-1)-\ell}{(j-1)-\ell}. \qquad (2.34) \qquad \boxed{\texttt{2.23}}
\end{aligned}$$

If we repeat the procedure in ($\overset{\texttt{2.23}}{2.34}$) for r times for $r=1,\cdots,j-\ell$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=0}^{q-k}\binom{k+i-j}{k-j}\binom{q-k-i+j-\ell}{j-\ell}\\
&\qquad=\sum_{i=0}^{q-k}\binom{k+i-(j-r)}{k-(j-r)}\binom{q-k-i+(j-r)-\ell}{(j-r)-\ell}.
\end{aligned}$$

For each $j=r+\ell$, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-k}\binom{k+i-j}{k-j}\binom{q-k-i+j-\ell}{j-\ell}=\sum_{i=0}^{q-k}\binom{k+i-\ell}{k-\ell}\binom{q-k-i}{0}=\binom{q-\ell+1}{k-\ell+1}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\sum_{j=\ell}^{k}\sum_{i=0}^{q-k}\binom{k+i-j}{k-j}\binom{q-k-i+j-\ell}{j-\ell}=(k-\ell+1)\binom{q-\ell+1}{k-\ell+1}. \qquad (2.35) \qquad \boxed{\texttt{2.24}}$$

Use ($\overset{\texttt{2.24}}{2.35}$) in ($\overset{\texttt{2.21}}{2.31}$) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(-1)^q}{q}\sum_{i=0}^{q-k}\sum_{j=i}^{k+i}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-j+i}(-1)^\ell d^{k-\ell}\binom{j}{j-i}\binom{q-j-\ell}{k-j+i-\ell}\binom{n+1}{\ell}\\
&\qquad=\frac{(-1)^q}{q}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k}(-1)^\ell d^{k-\ell}(k-\ell+1)\binom{q-\ell+1}{k-\ell+1}\binom{n+1}{\ell}\\
&\qquad=\frac{(-1)^q}{q}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k}(-1)^\ell d^{k-\ell}(q-\ell+1)\binom{q-\ell}{k-\ell}\binom{n+1}{\ell}. \qquad (2.36) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.25}}
\end{aligned}$$

By adding ($\overset{\texttt{2.20}}{2.30}$), ($\overset{\texttt{2.25}}{2.36}$) and ($\overset{\texttt{2.17}}{2.28}$), we can get the coefficient

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{qk} &= \frac{(-1)^q}{q}\sum_{\ell=1}^{k}\binom{q-\ell}{k-\ell}d^{k-\ell}(-1)^\ell\ell\binom{n+1}{\ell}\\
&\quad+\frac{(-1)^q}{q}d^kq\binom{q}{k}+\frac{(-1)^q}{q}\sum_{\ell=1}^{k}(-1)^\ell d^{k-\ell}(q-\ell+\ell)\binom{q-\ell}{k-\ell}\binom{n+1}{\ell}\\
&\quad-\frac{(-1)^q}{q}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k}(-1)^\ell d^{k-\ell}(q-\ell)\binom{q-\ell}{k-\ell}\binom{n+1}{\ell}\\
&= (-1)^q\sum_{\ell=0}^{k}(-1)^\ell d^{k-\ell}\binom{q-\ell}{k-\ell}\binom{n+1}{\ell}. \qquad (2.37) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.251}}
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing ($\overset{\texttt{2.251}}{2.37}$) and ($\overset{\texttt{2.21}}{2.31}$), we get

$$\alpha_{qk}=\begin{cases}\displaystyle\binom{n+1}{q}-d\alpha_{(q-1)(q-1)}, & \text{if } k=q;\\ -(d\alpha_{(q-1)(k-1)}+\alpha_{(q-1)k}), & \text{if } 0\le k<q.\end{cases}$$

□

2.3. **Computation of the Bando-Futaki invariants.** The Ricci curvature represents the first Chern class. In Remark $\overset{\texttt{re2.1}}{2.1}$, we have

$$c_1(\Theta)=(n+1-d)\omega-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi.$$

It is clear that the harmonic part of $c_1(\Theta)$ is $(n+1-d)\omega$. In Lemma $\overset{\texttt{lem2.3}}{2.3}$, we compute the q-th Chern form $c_q(\Theta)$. In order to obtain the Hodge decomposition of $c_q(\Theta)$ in the de Rham cohomology $H^{2q}_{DR}(M)$, we need the following Lemma.

$\boxed{\texttt{Lef}}$ **Lemma 2.4.** *Let M be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^n$. Then we have*

$$\dim H^{2q}_{DR}(M)=1$$

for $q=1,\cdots,n-1$.

Proof. Consider the Lefschetz Hyperplane Theorem: Let Ω^q_M be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic p-forms on M. The map

$$H^q(M,\Omega^p_M)\longrightarrow H^q(\mathbb{CP}^n,\Omega^p_{\mathbb{CP}^n})\cong\begin{cases}0, & \text{if } p\ne q;\\ \mathbb{C}, & \text{if } p=q\end{cases}$$

is an isomorphism for $p+q \leq n-2$ and injective for $p+q=n-1$. Therefore we can compute the Hodge number

$$h^{p,q}(M) = \dim H^q(M, \Omega^p_M) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p \neq q \text{ and } p+q \leq n-2.; \\ 1, & \text{if } p = q \leq \frac{n-2}{2}. \end{cases}$$

By Kodaira-Serre Theorem, we have $h^{p,q}(M) = h^{n-1-p,n-1-q}(M) = 0$ if $p \neq q$ and $p+q \geq n$; $h^{p,p}(M) = 1$ for $p \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Then the Hodge decomposition gives the Betti number

$$b_r(M) = \dim H^r_{DR}(M) = \sum_{p+q=r} h^{p,q}(M) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd;} \\ 1, & \text{if } r \neq n-1 \text{ and } r = 2p = 2q. \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}^r = \{\varphi \in \wedge^r T^*(M) | \triangle \varphi = 0\}$ be the vector space of harmonic r-forms on M. For $n = 2p+1$, consider the map

$$L : \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(M),$$

where $L(\phi) = \omega \wedge \phi$ for $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(M)$. The map L is well-defined since we have $[L, \triangle] = 0$ on compact Kähler manifolds, where $\triangle = d\delta + \delta d$. Therefore, $L(\phi) = \omega \wedge \phi$ is also harmonic. By Hodge Theorem and Lefschetz Hyperplane Theorem, we have $\dim \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(M) = \dim H^{n-1}_{DR}(M) \geq 1$. Suppose that there exists a harmonic $(n-1)$-form $\phi \neq c\omega^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\omega \wedge \phi \neq c\omega^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, this implies $\dim \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(M) \geq 2$. It contradicts to the fact $\dim \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(M) = 1$. □

Let For $1 \leq q \leq n-1$, ω^q is harmonic on compact Kähler manifolds. The Hodge Theorem says that for any (q,q) form ϕ and $[\phi] \in H^{2q}_{DR}(M)$, there exists a unique harmonic form representing $[\phi]$. Since $\dim \mathcal{H}^{2q}(M) = \dim H^{2q}_{DR}(M) = 1$, there exists some constant c such that $[\phi] = [c\omega^q] \in H^{2q}_{DR}(M)$. That is,

cor2.1 **Corollary 2.1.** *For $1 \leq q \leq n-1$, the harmonic part of $c_q(\Theta)$ is proportional to ω^q.*

By Corollary 2.1 and the Hodge decomposition theory, we have that

$$c_q(\Theta) = \alpha_{qq}\omega^q + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial} f_q, \tag{2.38}$$ 2.27

where $f_q = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \alpha_{qk} \xi \omega^q \wedge \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi\right)^{q-k-1}$. However, we will not compute the q-th Bando-Futaki invariant directly:

$$\mathcal{F}_q(X) = \int_M \mathcal{L}_X f_q \wedge \omega_M^{n-q-1},$$

where $\omega_M = (n+1-d)\omega \in c_1(M)$ and $\omega = \omega_{FS}|_M$. First, take the contraction map on (2.38) with X:

$$i(X)c_q(\Theta) - i(X)\alpha_{qq}\omega_q = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\bar{\partial} i(X)\partial f_q. \tag{2.39}$$ 13

Let us compute each term in (2.39) separately. Take the contraction of ω^q with X:

$$i(X)\omega^q = qi(X)(\omega)\omega^{q-1} = q(-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\bar{\partial}\theta)\omega^{q-1},$$

where $i(X)\omega = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\bar{\partial}\theta$. More precisely, we can express a holomorphic vector field

$$\tilde{X} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_i Z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_i} \qquad (2.40) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.301}}$$

over $\mathbb{CP}^n$ with $\sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k = 0$. The restriction of $\tilde{X}$ on $M \cap V$ is given by

$$\tilde{X}|_V = X = \sum_{i=2}^{n} (\lambda_i - \lambda_0) z_i \left(a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right).$$

Hence the Hamilton function can be expressed explicitly

$$\theta = -\tilde{X} \log(\sum_{k=0}^{n} |Z_k|^2) = -\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k |Z_k|^2}{\sum_{k=0}^n |Z_k|^k} = -\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |z_k|^2}{1 + |z|^2} - \lambda_0. \qquad (2.41) \quad \boxed{\texttt{15}}$$

Let $\tilde{P}\underbrace{(\Theta, \cdots, \Theta)}_{q}$ be the polarization of its elementary invariant polynomial $P^q(\Theta)$.

Take the contraction of the curvature with X:

$$i(X)\Theta_k^\ell = i(X)\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum_{i,j=2}^{n} R_{ki\bar{j}}^\ell dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum_{i,j=2}^{n} X^i R_{ki\bar{j}}^\ell d\bar{z}_j = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \bar{\partial} X_k^\ell,$$

where

$$X_k^\ell = \frac{\partial X^\ell}{\partial z_k} + \sum_i X^i \Gamma_{ik}^\ell = -\sum_{j=2}^{n} \tilde{g}^{\ell\bar{j}} \partial_k \bar{\partial}_j \theta \qquad (2.42) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.311}}$$

for $2 \leq k, \ell \leq n$. Let

$$\nabla X = \sum_{k,\ell} X_k^\ell dz_k \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\ell} = \sum_{k,\ell} (\frac{\partial X^\ell}{\partial z_k} + \sum_i X^i \Gamma_{ki}^\ell) dz_k \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\ell}.$$

Then we can take the contraction map on the q-th Chern form

$$i(X)c_q(\Theta) = q\tilde{P}^q(i(X)\Theta, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta) = -q\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \bar{\partial} \tilde{P}^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta).$$

We may re-write ($\overset{\texttt{13}}{2.39}$) as

$$\bar{\partial}[-q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta) + q\alpha_{qq}\theta\omega^{q-1} - i(X)\partial f_{q,\Theta}] = 0.$$

By Hodge Decomposition Theorem, we get

$$-q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta) + q\alpha_{qq}\theta\omega^{q-1} - i(X)\partial f_{q,\Theta} = \psi_q + \bar{\partial}\varphi_q, \qquad (2.43) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.30}}$$

where ψ_q is the harmonic part of the left-hand side of ($\overset{\texttt{2.30}}{2.43}$) and φ_q is a $2(q-1)-1$ form. Since the right hand side is of $(q-1, q-1)$ form, φ_q is of $(q-1, q-2)$ form. By Lemma $\overset{\texttt{Lef}}{2.4}$, there exists a constant $C(q)$ such that $\psi_q = C(q)\omega^{q-1}$. Instead of computing the Bando-Futaki invariants directly, we will compute the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M L_X f_q \wedge \omega_M^{n-q} &= \int_M (di(X)f_q + i(X)\partial f_q) \wedge \omega_M^{n-q} \\ &= \int_M \left(-q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta) + q\alpha_{qq}\theta\omega^{q-1} - C(q)\omega^{q-1} - \bar{\partial}\varphi_q \right) \wedge \omega_M^{n-q}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.44) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.31}}$$

Finding $C(q)$ and showing $q\int_M \tilde{P}^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta) \wedge \omega_M^{n-q} = 0$ are the next two steps to compute ($\overset{\texttt{2.31}}{2.44}$). In order to evaluate $C(q)$, it is necessary to express $\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta)$ explicitly.

lem2.4 **Lemma 2.5.** *The covariant derivative of the polarization of the elementary polynomial P^q is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta) &= -\mathrm{div}(X)\gamma_{q1} + \theta\gamma_{q2} \\ &\quad -\sum_{j=2}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}\eta_{q-j} \wedge \Big(\big(\omega^{j-2} + n\zeta_{j-2}\big) \wedge \big(\partial\bar{\partial}\theta\big) - \zeta_{j-2} \wedge \big(\partial\bar{\partial}\Delta\theta\big)\Big), \qquad (2.45) \qquad \boxed{2.32} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{q1} &= \textstyle\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \alpha_{qk}(q-k)\omega^k \wedge (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{q-1-k}, \\ \gamma_{q2} &= \textstyle\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \big((q-k)(n+1-d)\alpha_{qk} + (k+1)\alpha_{q(k+1)}\big)\, \omega^k \wedge (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{q-1-k}, \\ \eta_{q-j} &= \textstyle\sum_{k=0}^{q-j} \alpha_{(q-j)k}\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\omega^k \wedge (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{q-j-k}, \\ \zeta_{j-2} &= \textstyle\sum_{k=0}^{j-2}(d\omega + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{j-2-k} \wedge \omega^k, \\ \Delta\theta &= -\textstyle\sum_{\alpha,\beta=2}^{n} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\partial_\alpha\bar{\partial}_\beta\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. According to Sublemma sub2.1 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta) &= \frac{q}{q!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_q=2}^{n} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)X_{i_1}^{i_{\sigma(1)}}\Theta_{i_2}^{i_{\sigma(2)}}\cdots\Theta_{i_2}^{i_{\sigma(2)}} \\ &= \sum_{i_1=2}^{n} X_{i_1}^{i_1}P^{q-1}(\Theta) - \sum_{i_1,i_2}^{n} X_{i_1}^{i_2}\Theta_{i_2}^{i_1}P^{q-2}(\Theta) + \sum_{j=3}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}E_jP^{q-j}(\Theta), \qquad (2.46) \qquad \boxed{2.33} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_j = \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n} X_{i_1}^{i_2}\Theta_{i_2}^{i_3}\cdots\Theta_{i_j}^{i_1}$$

for $j = 3, \cdots, q$. Let $E_1 = \sum_{i=2}^{n} X_i^i$ and $E_2 = \sum_{i,j=2}^{n} X_i^j\Theta_i^j$. To formularize E_j, we need the following:

sub2.3 **Sublemma 2.3.** *For $j = 3, \cdots, q$, we have a regression relation for E_j:*

$$\begin{aligned} E_j &= \omega \wedge E_{j-1} + \Phi \wedge (d\omega + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{j-2} && (2.47) \qquad \boxed{2.34} \\ &= \omega^{j-2} \wedge E_2 + \Phi \wedge \sum_{k=0}^{j-3}(d\omega + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{j-2-k}\omega^k, && (2.48) \qquad \boxed{2.35} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\rho}\sum_{k,\ell,p,q=2}^{n} X_k^\ell \frac{\partial a_\ell}{\partial z_p}\frac{\partial \bar{a}_s}{\partial \bar{z}_q}\tilde{g}^{k\bar{s}}dz_p \wedge d\bar{z}_q. \qquad (2.49) \qquad \boxed{2.36}$$

Proof. (2.34 2.47) can be proved by induction. For $j = 3$, use Sublemma sub2.2 2.2 to compute

$$\begin{aligned} E_3 &= \sum_{i,j,k=2}^{3} X_i^j\Theta_j^k\Theta_k^i = \omega \wedge \sum_{i,j=2}^{n}(X_i^j\Theta_j^i) \\ &\quad -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\rho}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=2}^{n} X_i^j\left(\frac{\partial a_j}{\partial z_\alpha}\frac{\partial \bar{a}_s}{\partial \bar{z}_\beta}\tilde{g}^{i\bar{s}}dz_\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}_\beta\right) \wedge (d\omega + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi) \\ &= \omega \wedge E_2 + \Phi \wedge (d\omega + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that (2.47) holds for $j-1$. By Sublemma 2.2, the induction hypothesis, and (2.22), compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_j &= \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n} X_{i_1}^{i_2}\left(\Theta_{i_2}^{i_3}\cdots\Theta_{i_{j-1}}^{i_j}\right)\Theta_{i_j}^{i_1}\\
&= \omega \sum_{i_1,i_2,i_4\cdots,i_j=2}^{n} X_{i_1}^{i_2}\Theta_{i_2}^{i_4}\Theta_{i_4}^{i_5}\cdots\Theta_{i_{j-1}}^{i_j}\Theta_{i_j}^{i_1}\\
&-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\rho}\sum_{i_1,i_2,i_j,\alpha,\beta=2}^{n} X_{i_1}^{i_2}\left(\frac{\partial a_{i_2}}{\partial z_\alpha}\frac{\partial \bar a_s}{\partial \bar z_\beta}\tilde g^{i_j\bar s}dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar z_\beta\right)\wedge(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi)^{j-3}\wedge\Theta_{i_j}^{i_1}\\
&=\omega\wedge E_{j-1}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\rho}\sum_{i_1,i_2,i_j,\alpha,\beta=2}^{n} X_{i_1}^{i_2}\left(\frac{\partial a_{i_2}}{\partial z_\alpha}\frac{\partial \bar a_s}{\partial \bar z_\beta}\tilde g^{i_1\bar s}dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar z_\beta\right)\wedge(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi)^{j-2}\\
&=\omega\wedge E_{j-1}+\Phi\wedge(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi)^{j-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (2.48) follows directly from (2.47). □

Before we start compute E_j, we need to find Φ.

sub2.4 **Sublemma 2.4.** *We compute* Φ *explicitly as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi &= \operatorname{div}(X)\left((d-1)w+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\right)-n\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\theta+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\Delta\theta\\
&\quad-(n+1)\theta\left((d-1)w+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using (2.42) in (2.49), Φ can be computed alternately by

$$\Phi=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\rho}\sum_{k,\ell,j,p,q=2}^{n}\tilde g^{\ell\bar j}\partial_k\bar\partial_j\theta\frac{\partial a_\ell}{\partial z_p}\frac{\partial\bar a_s}{\partial\bar z_q}\tilde g^{k\bar s}dz_p\wedge d\bar z_q.$$

By using the definition of θ in (2.41), we get

$$\bar\partial_j\theta=-\frac{(\lambda_j-\lambda_0)z_j}{1+|z|^2}-\frac{(\lambda_1-\lambda_0)z_1\bar a_j}{1+|z|^2}-(\theta+\lambda_0)\frac{z_j+z_1\bar a_j}{1+|z|^2}.$$

Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_k\bar\partial_j\theta &= -\frac{\delta_{kj}(\lambda_j-\lambda_0)}{1+|z|^2}+\frac{(\lambda_j-\lambda_0)z_j(\bar z_k+\bar z_1a_k)}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\\
&\quad-\frac{(\lambda_1-\lambda_0)a_k\bar a_j}{1+|z|^2}+\frac{(\lambda_1-\lambda_0)z_1\bar a_j(\bar z_k+\bar z_1a_k)}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\\
&\quad+\frac{(\lambda_j-\lambda_0)\bar z_k}{1+|z|^2}\frac{z_j+z_1\bar a_j}{1+|z|^2}+\frac{(\lambda_1-\lambda_0)\bar z_1z_k}{1+|z|^2}\frac{z_j+z_1\bar a_j}{1+|z|^2}\\
&\quad+2(\theta+\lambda_0)\frac{(\bar z_k+\bar z_1a_k)(z_j+z_1\bar a_j)}{(1+|z|^2)^2}-(\theta+\lambda_0)\frac{\delta_{kj}+a_k\bar a_j}{1+|z|^2}. \qquad (2.50)
\end{aligned}$$

2.39

Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{g}^{\ell\bar{j}}\partial_k\bar{\partial}_j\theta &= -\delta_{\ell k}(\lambda_\ell-\lambda_0)+\frac{(\lambda_\ell-\lambda_0)z_\ell(\bar{z}_k+\bar{z}_1a_k)}{1+|z|^2}-\delta_{\ell k}(\theta+\lambda_0)\\ &\quad +\bar{a}_\ell\frac{|F_1|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^n|F_i|^2}a_k(\lambda_k-\lambda_0)-(\lambda_1-\lambda_0)a_k\bar{a}_\ell\frac{|F_1|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^n|F_i|^2}\\ &\quad -z_\ell\frac{|F_1|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^n|F_i|^2}\frac{\bar{F}_0}{\bar{F}_1}a_k\big((\lambda_1-\lambda_0)-(\lambda_k-\lambda_0)\big).\end{aligned}$$

Hence the Laplace of θ is

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle\theta &= -\tilde{g}^{k\bar{j}}\partial_k\bar{\partial}_j\theta=-\left(\lambda_0+\frac{|F_1|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^2|F_i|^2}\sum_{k=1}^n(\lambda_k-\lambda_0)|a_k|^2-n\theta\right)\\ &= -\left(\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n\lambda_k|F_k|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^n|F_i|^2}-n\theta\right). \qquad (2.51) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.41}}\end{aligned}$$

Denote that $\mathrm{div(X)}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=2}^{\mathrm{n}}\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{i}}=\triangle\theta$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_p\bar{\partial}_q\triangle\theta &= n\partial_p\bar{\partial}_q\theta-\frac{|F_1|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^n|F_i|^2}\sum_{k=2}^n(\lambda_k-\lambda_0)\frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_p}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_k}{\partial\bar{z}_q}\\ &\quad +\frac{|F_1|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^n|F_i|^2}\sum_{k=2}^n(\lambda_k-\lambda_0)\frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_p}\bar{a}_k\left(\sum_{s=2}^n\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_q}a_s+\frac{F_0}{F_1}\sum_{s=2}^n\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_q}\bar{z}_s\right)\\ &\quad +\frac{|F_1|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^n|F_i|^2}\sum_{k=2}^n(\lambda_k-\lambda_0)\frac{\partial\bar{a}_k}{\partial\bar{z}_q}a_k\left(\sum_{s=2}^n\frac{\partial a_s}{\partial z_p}\bar{a}_s+\frac{\bar{F}_0}{\bar{F}_1}\sum_{s=2}^n\frac{\partial a_s}{\partial z_p}z_s\right)\\ &\quad -\sum_{k=1}^n(\lambda_k-\lambda_0)|a_k|^2\Big(\frac{|F_1|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^n|F_i|^2}\Big)^3\\ &\qquad\cdot\left(\sum_{s=2}^n\frac{\partial a_s}{\partial z_p}\bar{a}_s+\frac{\bar{F}_0}{\bar{F}_1}\sum_{s=2}^n\frac{\partial a_s}{\partial z_p}z_s\right)\left(\sum_{s=2}^n\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_q}a_s+\frac{F_0}{F_1}\sum_{s=2}^n\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_q}\bar{z}_s\right)\\ &\quad +\sum_{k=1}^n(\lambda_k-\lambda_0)|a_k|^2\frac{|F_1|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^n|F_i|^2}\partial_p\bar{\partial}_q\log|\frac{\nabla F}{F_1}|^2.\end{aligned}$$

By using the definition of $\tilde{g}^{k\bar{s}}$ given in Lemma `lem2.1` 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\rho\frac{\partial a_\ell}{\partial z_p}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_q}\tilde{g}^{k\bar{s}} &= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n|F_i|^2}{|F_1|^2}\frac{\partial a_\ell}{\partial z_p}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_k}{\partial\bar{z}_q}-\frac{\partial a_\ell}{\partial z_p}\bar{a}_k\sum_{s=2}^n\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_q}a_s+(1+|a|^2)\frac{\partial a_\ell}{\partial z_p}z_k\sum_{s=2}^n\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_q}\bar{z}_s\\ &\quad -\frac{\bar{F}_0}{\bar{F}_1}\frac{\partial a_\ell}{\partial z_p}z_k\sum_{s=2}^n\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_q}a_s-\frac{F_0}{F_1}\frac{\partial a_\ell}{\partial z_p}\bar{a}_k\sum_{s=2}^n\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_q}\bar{z}_s. \qquad (2.52) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.40}}\end{aligned}$$

Then multiple (`2.39` 2.50) and (`2.40` 2.52) together to get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\rho}\tilde{g}^{\ell\bar{j}}\partial_k\bar{\partial}_j\theta\frac{\partial a_\ell}{\partial z_p}\frac{\partial\bar{a}_s}{\partial\bar{z}_q}\tilde{g}^{k\bar{s}} &= \partial_p\bar{\partial}_q\triangle\theta-n\partial_p\bar{\partial}_q\theta-(\theta+\lambda_0)\partial_p\bar{\partial}_q\log\frac{\sum_{i=0}^n|F_i|^2}{|F_1|^2}\\ &\quad -\sum_{i=1}^n(\lambda_i-\lambda_0)|a_i|^2\frac{|F_1|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^n|F_i|^2}|^2\partial_p\bar{\partial}_q\log\frac{\sum_{i=0}^n|F_i|^2}{|F_1|^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\triangle\theta - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}n\partial\bar{\partial}\theta - (\theta+\lambda_0)\partial\bar{\partial}\log\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{2}|F_i|^2}{|F_1|^2}\\ &\quad -\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\lambda_i-\lambda_0)|a_i|^2\frac{|F_1|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^{2}|F_i|^2}\partial\bar{\partial}\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{2}|F_i|^2}{|F_1|^2}\\ &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\triangle\theta - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}n\partial\bar{\partial}\theta - (n+1)\theta\Big((d-1)\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi\Big)\\ &\quad +\Big(n\theta-\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\lambda_i-\lambda_0)|a_i|^2\frac{|F_1|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^{2}|F_i|^2}-\lambda_0\Big)\Big((d-1)\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Then by using ([2.41] 2.51) and $\Delta\theta = \mathrm{div}(X)$, we get Φ. ☐

sub2.5 **Sublemma 2.5.** *For $j = 3,\cdots,q$, formularize E_j explicitly as following:*

$$\begin{aligned}E_j &= \mathrm{div}(X)\psi_{j-1} - (n+1)\theta(\psi_{j-1}-\omega^{j-1})\\ &\quad -\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\theta\big)\wedge(n\zeta_{j-2}+\omega^{j-2}) + \big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\Delta\theta\big)\wedge\zeta_{j-2}, \qquad (2.53)\end{aligned}$$ 21

where $\zeta_i = \sum_{k=0}^{i}(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{i-k}\omega^k$ and $\psi_i = (d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^i$.

Proof. E_2 can be obtained directly from Φ:

$$E_2 = \sum_{i,j=2}^{n} X_i^j\Theta_j^i = (\mathrm{div(X)}\omega - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\theta + \Phi).$$

Then by using ([2.35] 2.48) and Sublemma [sub2.4] 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned}E_j &= E_2\wedge\omega^{j-2} + \Phi\wedge\sum_{k=0}^{j-3}(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{j-2-k}\omega^k\\ &= \Phi\wedge\sum_{k=0}^{j-2}(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{j-2-k}\omega^k + \mathrm{div(X)}\omega^{\mathrm{j}-1} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\theta\wedge\omega^{\mathrm{j}-2}\\ &= \mathrm{div(X)(d}\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{\mathrm{j}-1} - \mathrm{(n+1)}\theta\left((\mathrm{d}\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{\mathrm{j}-1}-\omega^{\mathrm{j}-1}\right)\\ &\quad +\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\theta\wedge\left(n\sum_{k=0}^{j-2}(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{j-2-k}\omega^k-\omega^{j-2}\right)\\ &\quad +\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\triangle\theta\wedge\sum_{k=0}^{j-2}(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{j-2-k}\omega^k.\end{aligned}$$

☐

Back to the proof of Lemma 2.4. Denote that $E_1 = \sum_{i=2}^{n} X_i^i = \mathrm{divX} = \triangle\theta$. In fact, we can write down E_2 explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned}E_2 &= \mathrm{div}X\left(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi\right) - (n+1)\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\theta\\ &\quad +\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\triangle\theta - (n+1)\theta\left((d-1)\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then we can use Sublemma $\overset{\texttt{sub2.5}}{\text{2.5}}$ to compute $\sum_{j=1}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}E_jP^{q-j}(\Theta)$. The coefficient for div(X) in ($\overset{\texttt{2.33}}{\text{2.46}}$) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}\psi_{j-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-j}\alpha_{(q-j)k}\omega^k\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi\big)^{q-j-k}\\
&\quad=\sum_{j=1}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi)^{j-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-j}\alpha_{(q-j)k}\omega^k\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi\big)^{q-j-k}\\
&\quad=\sum_{t=1}^{q}\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1}\sum_{j=t-\ell}^{q-\ell}\alpha_{(q-j)(q-j-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1}d^{j+\ell-t}\binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t}\omega^{q-t}\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi\big)^{t-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

$\boxed{\texttt{2.42}}$

We derive several formulas before further computation. For each fixed index $j\geq t$, use ($\overset{\texttt{2.15}}{\text{2.24}}$) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1}\alpha_{(q-j)(q-j-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1}d^{j+\ell-t}\binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t}\\
&\quad=\sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1}(-1)\Big(d\alpha_{(q-j-1)(q-j-\ell-1)}+\alpha_{(q-j-1)(q-j-\ell)}\Big)(-1)^{j-1}d^{j+\ell-t}\binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t}\\
&\qquad+(-1)^{j-1}d^{j-t}\Big(\binom{n+1}{q-j}-d\alpha_{(q-j-1)(q-j-1)}\Big)\binom{j-1}{j-t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

$\boxed{\texttt{2.43}}$

Rewrite ($\overset{\texttt{2.43}}{\text{2.55}}$) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1}(-1)\Big(d\alpha_{(q-j-1)(q-j-\ell-1)}+\alpha_{(q-j-1)(q-j-\ell)}\Big)(-1)^{j-1}d^{j+\ell-t}\binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t}\\
&\quad=\sum_{\ell=1}^{t-2}\alpha_{(q-j-1)(q-j-\ell-1)}(-1)^{j}d^{j+1+\ell-t}\Big[\binom{j}{j+1+\ell-t}-\binom{j-1}{j+\ell+1-t}\Big]\\
&\qquad+\alpha_{(q-j-1)(q-j-t)}(-d)^{j}+\alpha_{(q-j-1)(q-j-1)}(-1)^{j}d^{j+1-t}\binom{j-1}{j+1-t}\\
&\qquad+\sum_{\ell=1}^{t-2}\alpha_{(q-j-1)(q-j-\ell-1)}(-1)^{j}d^{j+\ell+1-t}\binom{j-1}{j+\ell+1-t}\\
&\qquad+(-1)^{j-1}d^{j-t}\Big(\binom{n+1}{q-j}-d\alpha_{(q-j-1)(q-j-1)}\Big)\binom{j-1}{j-t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.56}$$

$\boxed{\texttt{2.44}}$

Cancelling out the same terms in ($\overset{\texttt{2.44}}{\text{2.56}}$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1}\alpha_{(q-j)(q-j-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1}d^{j+\ell-t}\binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t}\\
&\qquad\qquad=\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1}\alpha_{(q-j-1)(q-j-\ell-1)}(-1)^{j}d^{j+1+\ell-t}\binom{j}{j+1+\ell-t}\\
&\qquad\qquad\quad+(-1)^{j-1}d^{j-t}\binom{n+1}{q-j}\binom{j-1}{j-t}\qquad\text{for } j\geq t.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.57}$$

$\boxed{\texttt{2.46}}$

Similarly to ($\overset{\texttt{2.46}}{2.57}$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\ell=t-j}^{t-1} \alpha_{(q-j)(q-j-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1} d^{j+\ell-t} \binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t} \\
&\quad = \sum_{\ell=t-j-1}^{t-1} \alpha_{(q-j-1)(q-j-\ell-1)}(-1)^{j} d^{j+1+\ell-t} \binom{j}{j+1+\ell-t} \quad \text{for } j<t. \quad (2.58) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.461}}
\end{aligned}$$

For each $1 \le s \le q-2t+1$, we divide the following summation into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1} \sum_{j=t-\ell}^{q-\ell} \alpha_{(q-j)(q-j-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1} d^{j+\ell-t} \binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t} \\
&\qquad = \sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1} \sum_{j=t+s}^{q-\ell} \alpha_{(q-j)(q-j-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1} d^{j+\ell-t} \binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{j=1}^{t+s-1} \sum_{\ell=\max\{0,t-j\}}^{t-1} \alpha_{(q-t)(q-t-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1} d^{j+\ell-t} \binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t}. \quad (2.59) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.462}}
\end{aligned}$$

Then use ($\overset{\texttt{2.461}}{2.58}$) and ($\overset{\texttt{2.46}}{2.57}$) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{t} \sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1} \alpha_{(q-t)(q-t-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1} d^{j+\ell-t} \binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t} \\
&\qquad = t \sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1} \alpha_{(q-t)(q-t-\ell)}(-1)^{t-1} d^{\ell} \binom{t-1}{\ell} \\
&\qquad = t \sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1} \alpha_{(q-t-1)(q-t-1-\ell)}(-1)^{t} d^{\ell+1} \binom{t}{\ell+1} + t(-1)^{t-1} \binom{n+1}{q-t}. \quad (2.60) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.47}}
\end{aligned}$$

By ($\overset{\texttt{2.47}}{2.60}$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{t+s-1} \sum_{\ell=\max\{0,t-j\}}^{t-1} \alpha_{(q-t)(q-t-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1} d^{j+\ell-t} \binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t} \\
&\qquad = t \sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1} \alpha_{(q-t-1)(q-t-1-\ell)}(-1)^{t} d^{\ell+1} \binom{t}{\ell+1} + t(-1)^{t-1} \binom{n+1}{q-t} \\
&\qquad\quad + \sum_{j=t+1}^{t+s-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1} \alpha_{(q-t)(q-t-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1} d^{j+\ell-t} \binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t} \\
&\quad = (t+1) \sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1} \alpha_{(q-(t+1))(q-(t+1)-\ell)}(-1)^{t} d^{\ell+1} \binom{t}{\ell+1} + t(-1)^{t-1} \binom{n+1}{q-t} \\
&\qquad\quad + \sum_{j=t+2}^{t+s-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1} \alpha_{(q-t)(q-t-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1} d^{j+\ell-t} \binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t}. \quad (2.61) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.4712}}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $\overset{\texttt{2.46}}{(2.57)}$ in $\overset{\texttt{2.4712}}{(2.61)}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{t+s-1}\sum_{\ell=\max\{0,t-j\}}^{t-1}\alpha_{(q-t)(q-t-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1}d^{j+\ell-t}\binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t}\\
&\qquad\qquad = (t+2)\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1}\alpha_{(q-(t+2))(q-(t+2)-\ell)}(-1)^{t+1}d^{\ell+2}\binom{t+1}{\ell+2}\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad + \sum_{j=t}^{t+1}(-1)^{j-1}d^{j-t}\binom{n+1}{q-j}\binom{j-1}{j-t}\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad + \sum_{j=t+3}^{t+s-1}\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1}\alpha_{(q-t)(q-t-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1}d^{j+\ell-t}\binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t}. \qquad (2.62) \qquad \boxed{\texttt{2.4711}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Repeat the procedure from $\overset{\texttt{2.4712}}{(2.61)}$ to $\overset{\texttt{2.4711}}{(2.62)}$ and put it back in $\overset{\texttt{2.462}}{(2.59)}$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1}\sum_{j=t-\ell}^{q-\ell}\alpha_{(q-j)(q-j-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1}d^{j+\ell-t}\binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t}\\
&\qquad = \sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1}\sum_{j=t+s}^{q-\ell}\alpha_{(q-j)(q-j-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1}d^{j+\ell-t}\binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t}\\
&\quad + (t+s-1)\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1}\alpha_{(q-(t+s-1))(q-(t+s-1)-\ell)}(-1)^{t-s-2}d^{s+\ell-1}\binom{t-s-2}{s+\ell-1}\\
&\qquad + \sum_{j=t}^{t+s-2}j(-1)^{j-1}d^{j-t}\binom{n+1}{q-j}\binom{j-1}{j-t} \qquad \text{for } 1 \le s \le q-2t+1. \qquad (2.63) \qquad \boxed{\texttt{2.471}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $s = q-2t+1$, we change indices in the first term on the right hand side of $\overset{\texttt{2.471}}{(2.63)}$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1}\sum_{j=q-t+1}^{q-\ell}\alpha_{(q-j)(q-j-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1}d^{j+\ell-t}\binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t}\\
&=\sum_{j=0}^{t-1}\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1-j}\alpha_{(t-1-j)(t-1-j-\ell)}(-1)^{q-t+j}d^{q-2t+j+\ell+1}\binom{q-t+j}{q-2t+j+\ell+1}. \qquad (2.64) \qquad \boxed{\texttt{2.473}}
\end{aligned}
$$

With the same procedure as we do in $\overset{\texttt{2.46}}{(2.57)}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1-\lambda}\alpha_{(t-1-\lambda)(t-1-\lambda-\ell)}(-1)^{q-t+\lambda}d^{q-2t+\lambda+\ell+1}\binom{q-t+\lambda}{q-2t+\lambda+\ell+1}\\
&\quad = \sum_{\ell=0}^{t-2-\lambda}\alpha_{(t-2-\lambda)(t-2-\lambda-\ell)}(-1)^{q-t+\lambda+1}d^{q-2t+\lambda+\ell+2}\binom{q-t+\lambda+1}{q-2t+\lambda+\ell+2}\\
&\qquad\qquad + (-1)^{q-t+j}d^{q-2t+\lambda+\ell+1}\binom{q-t+\lambda}{q-2t+\lambda+\ell+1}\binom{n+1}{t-1-\lambda}. \qquad (2.65) \qquad \boxed{\texttt{2.472}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $j = 0, 1, \cdots, t-1$. Put ($\overset{\texttt{2.473}}{2.64}$) back to ($\overset{\texttt{2.471}}{2.63}$) and use ($\overset{\texttt{2.472}}{2.65}$) to repeat the procedure as ($\overset{\texttt{2.471}}{2.63}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1}\sum_{j=t-\ell}^{q-\ell}\alpha_{(q-j)(q-j-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1}d^{j+\ell-t}\binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t}\\
&=\sum_{j=0}^{t-1}\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1-j}\alpha_{(t-1-j)(t-1-j-\ell)}(-1)^{q-t+j}d^{q-2t+j+\ell+1}\binom{q-t+j}{q-2t+j+\ell+1}\\
&\qquad+(q-t)\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1}\alpha_{(t-1)(t-1-\ell)}(-1)^{q-t}d^{q-2t+\ell+1}\binom{q-t}{q-2t+\ell+1}\\
&\qquad+\sum_{j=t}^{q-t}j(-1)^{j-1}d^{j-t}\binom{n+1}{q-j}\binom{j-1}{j-t}\\
&=\sum_{j=\lambda}^{t-1}\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1-j}\alpha_{(t-1-j)(t-1-j-\ell)}(-1)^{q-t+j}d^{q-2t+j+\ell+1}\binom{q-t+j}{q-2t+j+\ell+1}\\
&+(q-t+\lambda)\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1-\lambda}\alpha_{(t-1-\lambda)(t-1-\lambda-\ell)}(-1)^{q-t+\lambda}d^{q-2t+\lambda+\ell+1}\binom{q-t+\lambda}{q-2t+\lambda+\ell+1}\\
&\qquad+\sum_{j=t}^{q-t+\lambda}j(-1)^{j-1}d^{j-t}\binom{n+1}{q-j}\binom{j-1}{j-t}
\end{aligned}\tag{2.66}\quad\boxed{\texttt{2.474}}$$

for $\lambda = 1, \cdots, t-1$. By substituting $\lambda = t-1$ in ($\overset{\texttt{2.474}}{2.66}$), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1}\sum_{j=t-\ell}^{q-\ell}\alpha_{(q-j)(q-j-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1}d^{j+\ell-t}\binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t}\\
&=\sum_{j=t}^{q}j(-1)^{j-1}d^{j-t}\binom{n+1}{q-j}\binom{j-1}{j-t}=-t\alpha_{q(q-t)}.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.67}\quad\boxed{\texttt{2.48}}$$

By substituting ($\overset{\texttt{2.48}}{2.67}$) in ($\overset{\texttt{2.42}}{2.54}$), we get the coefficient of div(X)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}\psi_{j-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-j}\alpha_{(q-j)k}\omega^k\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-j-k}\\
&=\sum_{t=1}^{q}\sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1}\sum_{j=t-\ell}^{q-\ell}\alpha_{(q-j)(q-j-\ell)}(-1)^{j-1}d^{j+\ell-t}\binom{j-1}{j+\ell-t}\omega^{q-t}\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{t-1}\\
&=-\sum_{t=1}^{q}t\alpha_{q(q-t)}\omega^{q-t}\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{t-1}=-\sum_{t=0}^{q-1}(q-t)\alpha_{qt}\omega^{t}\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-t-1}.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.68}\quad\boxed{\texttt{2.49}}$$

The coefficient for θ in ($\overset{\texttt{2.33}}{2.46}$) is

$$-(n+1)\sum_{j=2}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}(\psi_{j-1}-\omega^{j-1})\sum_{k=0}^{q-j}\alpha_{(q-j)k}\omega^k\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-j-k}.\tag{2.69}\quad\boxed{\texttt{2.50}}$$

Use $(\overset{\texttt{2.15}}{2.24})$ in the second part of $(\overset{\texttt{2.50}}{2.69})$ and we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(n+1)\sum_{j=1}^{q}(-1)^j\sum_{k=0}^{q-j}\alpha_{(q-j)k}\omega^{k+j-1}\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-j-k}\\
&\quad=-(n+1)\sum_{t=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{t}\alpha_{(q-t-1+k)k}(-1)^{t-k}\omega^t\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-t-1}\\
&\quad=(-1)^q(n+1)\sum_{t=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{t}\binom{q-1-k}{t-k}\binom{n}{k}(-1)^k d^{t-k}\omega^t\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-t-1}. \qquad (2.70)\quad \boxed{\texttt{2.51}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Use $(\overset{\texttt{2.15}}{2.24})$ to compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
&d(q-t)\alpha_{qt}-(t+1)\alpha_{q(t+1)}\\
&\quad=(-1)^q d(q-t)\sum_{k=0}^{t}\binom{q-k}{t-k}\binom{n+1}{k}d^{t-k}(-1)^k\\
&\qquad\qquad-(-1)^q(t+1)\sum_{k=0}^{t+1}\binom{q-k}{t+1-k}\binom{n+1}{k}d^{t+1-k}(-1)^k\\
&\quad=(-1)^q\sum_{k=0}^{t-1}(-1)^{k+1}\left((q-t)\binom{q-1-k}{t-1-k}-(t+1)\binom{q-1-k}{t-k}\right)\binom{n+1}{k+1}\\
&\qquad\qquad+(-1)^{q+t}(t+1)\binom{q-1-t}{0}\binom{n+1}{t+1}\\
&\quad=(-1)^q\sum_{k=0}^{t}(-1)^k(n+1)\binom{q-1-k}{t-k}\binom{n}{k}.
\end{aligned}
\qquad (2.71)\quad \boxed{\texttt{2.52}}
$$

Comparing the coefficient in $(\overset{\texttt{2.52}}{2.71})$ and $(\overset{\texttt{2.51}}{2.70})$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(n+1)\sum_{j=1}^{q}(-1)^j\sum_{k=0}^{q-j}\alpha_{(q-j)k}\omega^{k+j-1}\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-j-k}\\
&\qquad\qquad=-\sum_{t=0}^{q-1}\big(d(q-t)\alpha_{qt}-(t+1)\alpha_{q(t+1)}\big)\,\omega^t\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-t-1}. \qquad (2.72)\quad \boxed{\texttt{2.53}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $(\overset{\texttt{2.49}}{2.68})$ and $(\overset{\texttt{2.53}}{2.72})$ in $(\overset{\texttt{2.51}}{2.70})$, the coefficient for θ in $(\overset{\texttt{2.33}}{2.46})$ is

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{q-1}\big((n+1-d)(q-t)\alpha_{qt}+(t+1)\alpha_{q(t+1)}\big)\,\omega^t\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-t-1}. \qquad (2.73)\quad \boxed{\texttt{2.54}}
$$

By using Sublemma $\overset{\texttt{sub2.4}}{2.4}$ and $(\overset{\texttt{2.35}}{2.48})$, the coefficient for $-\partial\bar\partial\theta$ in $(\overset{\texttt{2.33}}{2.46})$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{j=2}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}\left(n\sum_{\ell=0}^{j-2}\big(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{j-2-\ell}\omega^\ell+\omega^{j-2}\right)\\
&\quad\cdot\sum_{k=0}^{q-j}\alpha_{(q-j)k}\omega^k\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-j-k}=\sum_{j=2}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}\big(n\zeta_{j-2}+\omega^{j-2}\big)\wedge\eta_{q-j}, \qquad (2.74)\quad \boxed{\texttt{2.55}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{q-j} &= \textstyle\sum_{k=0}^{q-j}\alpha_{(q-j)k}\omega^k\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-j-k}\\ \zeta_{j-2} &= \textstyle\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{k=0}^{j-2}\big(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{j-2-k}\omega^k.\end{aligned}$$

By using Sublemma $\overset{\text{sub2.4}}{2.4}$ and ($\overset{2.35}{2.48}$), the coefficient for $\partial\bar\partial\triangle\theta$ in ($\overset{2.33}{2.46}$) is

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{j=2}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}\sum_{\ell=0}^{j-2}\big(d\omega+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{j-2-\ell}\omega^{\ell}\sum_{k=0}^{q-j}\alpha_{(q-j)k}\omega^k\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-j-k}\\ &=\sum_{j=2}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}\zeta_{j-2}\wedge\eta_{q-j}. \qquad (2.75) \quad \boxed{2.56}\end{aligned}$$

By adding $-\text{div}(\mathrm{X})(\overset{2.49}{2.68})+\theta(\overset{2.54}{2.73})+\partial\bar\partial\theta(\overset{2.55}{2.74})+\partial\bar\partial\triangle\theta(\overset{2.56}{2.75})$, we obtain $q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X,\Theta,\cdots,\Theta)$. □

$\boxed{\text{lem2.5}}$ **Lemma 2.6.** *The Hodge decomposition of equation* ($\overset{2.30}{2.43}$) *can be computed as follows:*

$$-q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X,\Theta,\cdots,\Theta)+q\alpha_{qq}\theta\omega^{q-1}-i(X)\partial f_q=-\kappa\alpha_{q(q-1)}\omega^{q-1}+\bar\partial\varphi_q, \qquad (2.76) \quad \boxed{2.57}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_q \;=\;& \kappa\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{k=0}^{q-2}(q-k)\omega^k\wedge(\partial\xi)\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-k-2}\\ &+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{q-1}k\alpha_{qk}\theta(\partial\xi)\wedge\omega^{k-1}\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-k-1}\\ &-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\alpha_{qk}(q-k-1)X(\xi)\partial\xi\omega^k\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-k-2}\\ &-\sum_{j=1}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}\big[(\partial\theta)\wedge\big(\omega^{j-1}+n\zeta_{j-2}\big)-(\partial\triangle\theta)\wedge\zeta_{j-2}\big]\wedge\eta_{q-j}\end{aligned}$$

is a globally defined form.

Proof. In ($\overset{2.27}{2.38}$), the potential form is obtained

$$f_q=\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\alpha_{qk}\xi\omega^k\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-k-1}$$

for $q=1,\cdots,n-1$. By direction computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}i(X)\partial f_q=&\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\alpha_{qk}X(\xi)\omega^k\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-k-1}\\ &+\sum_{k=1}^{q-1}k\alpha_{qk}\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}(\partial\xi)\wedge(\bar\partial\theta)\wedge\omega^{k-1}(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi)^{q-k-1}\\ &-\sum_{k=0}^{q-2}(q-k-1)\alpha_{qk}\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}(\partial\xi)\wedge\Big(\bar\partial X(\xi)\Big)\wedge\omega^k\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-k-2}. \qquad (2.77) \quad \boxed{2.58}\end{aligned}$$

Use Lemma `lem2.4` 2.5 and (`2.58` 2.77), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&-q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X,\Theta,\cdots,\Theta)+q\alpha_{qq}\theta\omega^{q-1}-i(X)\partial f_q\\
&\quad=\mathrm{div(X)}\sum_{\mathrm{k=0}}^{\mathrm{q-1}}(\mathrm{q-k})\alpha_{\mathrm{qk}}\omega^{\mathrm{k}}\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{\mathrm{q-1-k}}\\
&\quad-\theta\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\big[(q-k)(n+1-d)\alpha_{qk}+(k+1)\alpha_{q(k+1)}\big]\,\omega^k\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-1-k}\\
&\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}\big[(\partial\bar\partial\theta)\wedge\big(\omega^{j-1}+n\zeta_{j-2}\big)-(\partial\bar\partial\triangle\theta)\wedge\zeta_{j-2}\big]\wedge\eta_{q-j}\\
&\quad+q\alpha_{qq}\theta\omega^{q-1}-X(\xi)\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}[(q-k)-(q-k-1)]\alpha_{qk}\omega^k\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-1-k}\\
&\quad-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{q-1}k\alpha_{qk}(\partial\xi)\wedge(\bar\partial\theta)\wedge\omega^{k-1}(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi)^{q-k-1}\\
&\quad+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{k=0}^{q-2}(q-k-1)\alpha_{qk}(\partial\xi)\wedge\Big(\bar\partial X(\xi)\Big)\wedge\omega^k\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-k-2}. \qquad (2.78) \qquad \boxed{\texttt{2.59}}
\end{aligned}$$

In fact, we have the formula [`LZ1` 13, Theorem 4.1]

$$\mathrm{div(X)-X(\xi)-(n-d+1)\theta=-\kappa}. \qquad (2.79) \qquad \boxed{\texttt{2.60}}$$

By using (`2.60` 2.79) in (`2.59` 2.78), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X,\Theta,\cdots,\Theta)+q\alpha_{qq}\theta\omega^{q-1}-i(X)\partial f_q\\
&\quad=-\kappa\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}(q-k)\alpha_{qk}\omega^k\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-1-k}\\
&\quad-\theta\sum_{k=1}^{q-1}k\alpha_{qk}\omega^{k-1}\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-1-k}\\
&\quad-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{q-1}k\alpha_{qk}(\partial\xi)\wedge(\bar\partial\theta)\wedge\omega^{k-1}(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi)^{q-k-1}\\
&\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}\big[(\partial\bar\partial\theta)\wedge\big(\omega^{j-1}+n\zeta_{j-2}\big)-(\partial\bar\partial\triangle\theta)\wedge\zeta_{j-2}\big]\wedge\eta_{q-j}\\
&\quad+X(\xi)\sum_{k=0}^{q-2}(q-k-1)\alpha_{qk}\omega^k\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-1-k}\\
&\quad+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{k=0}^{q-2}(q-k-1)\alpha_{qk}(\partial\xi)\wedge\Big(\bar\partial X(\xi)\Big)\wedge\omega^k\wedge\big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\xi\big)^{q-k-2}. (2.80) \qquad \boxed{\texttt{2.61}}
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma `Lef` 2.4, the harmonic part on the left hand side of (`2.57` 2.76) is proportional to ω^{q-1}. Therefore, the Hodge decomposition of (`2.61` 2.80) is $-\kappa\alpha_{q(q-1)}\omega^{q-1}+\bar\partial\varphi_q$. □

$\boxed{\texttt{lem2.7}}$ **Lemma 2.7.** *We define* $q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X,\Theta,\cdots,\Theta)$ *in* ($\overset{\texttt{2.33}}{2.46}$)*. For* $q=1,\cdots,\ n-1$*, we have*

$$\int_M q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X,\Theta,\cdots,\Theta)\wedge\omega_M^{n-q}=0, \qquad (2.81) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.62}}$$

where $\omega_M=(n+1-d)\omega$*.*

Proof. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_M L_X(P^{q-1}(\Theta)\wedge\omega^{n-q}) \\ &= \int_M \big(L_XP^{q-1}(\Theta)\big)\wedge\omega^{n-q}+\int_M P^{q-1}(\Theta)\wedge L_X(\omega^{n-q}) \\ &= -(q-1)\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\int_M \partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{P}^{q-1}(\nabla X,\Theta,\cdots,\Theta)\wedge\omega^{n-q} \\ &\quad -(n-q)\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\int_M P^{q-1}(\Theta)\wedge\partial\bar{\partial}\theta\wedge\omega^{n-q-1}. \end{aligned} \qquad (2.82) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.63}}$$

By Stoke's Theorem, we have $\int_M\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{P}^{q-1}(\nabla X,\Theta,\cdots,\Theta)\wedge\omega^{n-q}=0$. By using ($\overset{\texttt{11}}{2.13}$) and ($\overset{\texttt{2.311}}{2.42}$), compute that

$$\begin{aligned} &-(n-q)\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\theta\wedge P^{q-1}(\Theta)\wedge\omega^{n-q-1} \\ &\quad = -\frac{(n-q)(n-1-q)!}{(q-1)!(n-1)!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{q-1},\tau\in S_q}\ \sum_{i_1,j_1,k_1\cdots,k_{q-1},i_q,j_q=2}^{n}\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\mathrm{sgn}(\tau) \\ &\qquad\quad \cdot\partial_{i_1}\bar{\partial}_{j_1}\theta R^{k_{\sigma(1)}}_{k_1i_2\bar{j}_2}\cdots R^{k_{\sigma(q-1)}}_{k_{q-1}i_q\bar{j}_q}\tilde{g}^{i_{\tau(1)}\bar{j}_1}\cdots\tilde{g}^{i_{\tau(q)}\bar{j}_q}\omega^{n-1} \\ &\quad = \frac{(n-q)!}{(q-1)!(n-1)!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{q-1},\tau\in S_q}\ \sum_{i_1,k_1,s_1,\cdots,s_{q-1},i_q,k_{q-1}=2}^{n}\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\mathrm{sgn}(\tau) \\ &\qquad\quad \cdot X^{i_{\tau(1)}}_{i_1}R^{i_{\tau(2)}}_{i_2k_1\bar{s}_1}\cdots R^{i_{\tau(q)}}_{i_qk_{q-1}\bar{s}_{q-1}}\tilde{g}^{k_{\sigma(1)}\bar{s}_1}\cdots\tilde{g}^{k_{\sigma(q-1)}\bar{s}_{q-1}}\omega^{n-1}. \end{aligned} \qquad (2.83) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.64}}$$

By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}^q(\nabla X,\Theta,\cdots,\Theta) &= \frac{1}{q!}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\Big)^{q-1}\sum_{\tau\in S_q}\ \sum_{i_1,k_1,s_1\cdots,k_{q-1},s_{q-1},i_q=2}^{n}\mathrm{sgn}(\tau) \\ &\quad \cdot X^{i_{\tau(1)}}_{i_1}R^{i_{\tau(2)}}_{i_2k_1\bar{s}_1}\cdots R^{i_{\tau(q)}}_{i_qk_{q-1}\bar{s}_{q-1}}dz_{k_1}\wedge d\bar{z}_{s_1}\wedge\cdots dz_{k_{q-1}}\wedge d\bar{z}_{s_{q-1}}. \end{aligned} \qquad (2.84) \quad \boxed{\texttt{2.641}}$$

By comparing ($\overset{\texttt{2.64}}{2.83}$) and ($\overset{\texttt{2.641}}{2.84}$), we obtain that

$$-(n-q)\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\theta\wedge P^{q-1}(\Theta)\wedge\omega^{n-q-1}=q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X,\Theta,\cdots,\Theta)\wedge\omega^{n-q}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\int_M q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X,\Theta,\cdots,\Theta)\wedge\omega_M^{n-q}=\int_M L_X(P^{q-1}(\Theta)\wedge\omega_M^{n-q})=0.$$

□

For an extension discussion of Lemma $\overset{\texttt{lem2.7}}{2.7}$, please see the Appendix.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From (2.44), we can compute the q-th Bando-Futaki invariant by

$$\int_M L_X f_q \wedge \omega_M^{n-q} = (n+1-d)^{n-q}\left(-C(q)\int_M \omega^{n-1} + q\alpha_{qq}\int_M \theta\omega^{n-1}\right) - q\int_M \tilde{P}^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta)\wedge\omega_M^{n-q} - \int_M \bar{\partial}\varphi_q \wedge \omega_M^{n-q}. \quad (2.85)$$

From Lemma 2.6, we have $C(q) = -\kappa\alpha_{q(q-1)}$. By [13, Theorem 5.1], we have $\int_M \theta\omega^{n-1} = \frac{\kappa}{n}$. From Lemma 2.7, we have $q\int_M \tilde{P}^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta)\wedge\omega_M^{n-q} = 0$. In addition, the divergence theorem implies that $\int_M \bar{\partial}\varphi_q \wedge \omega_M^{n-q} = 0$. With these information, we get

$$\int_M L_X f_q \wedge \omega_M^{n-q} = \kappa(n+1-d)^{n-q}(\alpha_{qq}\frac{q}{n} + d\alpha_{q(q-1)}).$$

Using (2.24), the q-th Bando-Futaki invariant can be computed directly by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_q(X) &= -(n+1-d)^{n-q}\frac{(d-1)}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}(-d)^j(j+1)(q-j)\binom{n+1}{q-j}\kappa \\ &= -(n+1-d)^{n-q}\frac{(d-1)(n+1)}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}(-d)^j(j+1)\binom{n}{q-1-j}\kappa.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.2. *With the notation as in Theorem 1.1, if* $d = 1$*, all the Bando-Futaki invariants are zero.*

Corollary 2.3. *With the notation as in Theorem 1.1, if* M *is the cubic surface in* $\mathbb{CP}^3$*, then the Bando-Futaki invariants are*

$$\mathcal{F}_1(X) = -\frac{8}{3}\kappa, \text{ and } \qquad \mathcal{F}_2(X) = 16\kappa.$$

The first example is due to [5] and [13].

Example 2.1. *Let*

$$M = \{Z \in \mathbb{CP}^3 | F(Z) = Z_0Z_1^2 + Z_2^2Z_3 - Z_2Z_3^2 = 0\}$$

be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^3$*, where* $Z = [Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3]$ *is homogeneous coordinates of* $\mathbb{CP}^3$*.* M *is a Kähler orbifold with* $c_1(M) > 0$*. Let*

$$X = -7Z_0\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0} + 5Z_1\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1} + Z_2\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_2} + Z_3\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_3}$$

be a holomorphic vector field in $\mathbb{CP}^3$*, which satisfies* $XF = 3F$*. The Bando-Futaki invariants are*

$$\mathcal{F}_1(X) = -8, \text{ and } \qquad \mathcal{F}_2(X) = 48.$$

Example 2.2. *Let*

$$M = \{Z \in \mathbb{CP}^4 | F(Z) = Z_0^2 + Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 + Z_3^2 + Z_4^2 = 0\}$$

be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^4$*. Let*

$$X = Z_0\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0} + Z_1\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1} + Z_2\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_2} + Z_3\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_3} + Z_4\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_4}$$

be a holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{CP}^4$, *which satisfies*

$$X(F) = 2F.$$

The Bando-Futaki invariants are

$$\mathcal{F}_1(X) = -270, \quad \mathcal{F}_2(X) = 135, \quad and F_3(X) = -\frac{285}{2}.$$

3. Chen and Tian's holomorphic invariants

The holomorphic invariants were introduced by Chen and Tian $\overset{\texttt{C-T}}{[4]}$. We prove that they are the Futaki invariants.

Definition 3.1. *Let M be an n-dimensional simply-connected Kähler manifold with a Kähler form ω. There exists a smooth function θ_X such that $i(X)\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\bar{\partial}\theta_X$*[3]. *Define*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_k(X,\omega) &= (n-k)\int_M \theta_X \omega^n + (k+1)\int_M \Delta\theta_X Ric(\omega)^k \wedge \omega^{n-k} \\ &\quad -(n-k)\int_M \theta_X Ric(\omega)^{k+1} \wedge \omega^{n-k-1}. \qquad (3.1) \end{aligned}$$ $\boxed{\texttt{3.1}}$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. These new holomorphic invariants are independent of the choices of the Kähler metrics in the Kähler class $[\omega]$, which were shown in $\overset{\texttt{C-T}}{[4]}$. There exists a constant α, such that $\alpha\omega \in c_1(M)$. Therefore, there exists a smooth real valued function f over M, such that $Ric(\omega) - \alpha\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}f$. Take inner derivative on both sides, we have

$$divX + \alpha\theta_X + X(f) = \beta, \qquad (3.2)$$ $\boxed{\texttt{30}}$

where β is a constant if M is compact. We need the following two formulas

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_M \left(i(X)[\partial f(\partial\bar{\partial}f)^{j-1}\omega^{n-j+1}]\right) \\ &= j\int_M X(f)(\partial\bar{\partial}f)^{j-1}\omega^{n-j+1} + (n-j+1)\int_M \bar{\partial}\theta_X \partial f(\partial\bar{\partial}f)^{j-1}\omega^{n-j} \\ &= j\int_M X(f)(\partial\bar{\partial}f)^{j-1}\omega^{n-j+1} + (n-j+1)\int_M \theta_X(\partial\bar{\partial}f)^{j}\omega^{n-j} \qquad (3.3) \end{aligned}$$ $\boxed{\texttt{31}}$

for $1 \leq j \leq k+1$, and

$$divX = \Delta\theta_X + c, \qquad (3.4)$$ $\boxed{\texttt{32}}$

---

[3] In order to maintain the definition as the original paper, we have opposite sign of the notation for θ_X and Δ that we used in previous section.

where c is a constant and $\Delta\theta_X = g^{i\bar{j}}\partial_i\bar{\partial}_j\theta_X$ if $\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g_{i\bar{j}}dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$. The new holomorphic invariants are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_k(X,\omega) &= (n-k)\int_M \theta_X\omega^n + (k+1)\int_M \Delta\theta_X Ric(\omega)^k \wedge \omega^{n-k} \\
&\quad -(n-k)\int_M \theta_X Ric(\omega)^{k+1}\wedge\omega^{n-k-1} \\
&= (n-k)(1-\alpha^{k+1})\int_M \theta_X\omega^n \\
&\quad +(k+1)\int_M \Delta\theta_X \sum_{i=1}^{k}\binom{k}{i}(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}f)^i\alpha^{k-i}\omega^{n-i} \\
&\quad -(n-k)\int_M \theta_X \sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\binom{k+1}{i}(\alpha\omega)^{k+1-i}(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}f)^i\omega^{n-k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

For each $1 \le i \le k+1$, break the number into two terms $(n-i+1) = (n-k) + (k-i-1)$. By using ($\overset{31}{3.3}$), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&-(n-k)\int_M \theta_X(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}f)^i\omega^{n-i} \\
&\qquad = (k-i-1)\int_M \theta_X(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}f)^i\omega^{n-i} + i\int_M X(f)(\partial\bar{\partial}f)^{i-1}\omega^{n-i+1}. \qquad (3.5) \quad \boxed{\texttt{3.5}}
\end{aligned}$$

By using ($\overset{32}{3.4}$) and ($\overset{3.5}{3.5}$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_k(X,\omega) &= (n-k)(1-\alpha^{k+1})\int_M \theta_X\omega^n \\
&\quad +(k+1)\int_M divX \sum_{i=1}^{k}\binom{k}{i}(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}f)^i\alpha^{k-i}\omega^{n-i} \\
&\quad +\int_M \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i\binom{k+1}{i}X(f)(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}f)^{i-1}\alpha^{k+1-i}\omega^{n-i+1} \\
&\quad +\int_M \sum_{i=1}^{k+1}(k-i+1)\binom{k+1}{i}\theta_X(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}f)^i\alpha^{k+1-i}\omega^{n-i}. \qquad (3.6) \quad \boxed{\texttt{3.6}}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i\binom{k+1}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{k}(i+1)\binom{k+1}{i+1} = (k+1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k}(k+1)\binom{k}{i}$, we devide the term of $X(f)$ in ($\overset{3.6}{3.6}$) into two parts and combine one part with div(X). That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_k(X,\omega) &= (n-k)(1-\alpha^{k+1})\int_M \theta_X\omega^n \\
&\quad +(k+1)\int_M (divX + X(f))\sum_{i=1}^{k}\binom{k}{i}(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}f)^i\alpha^{k-i}\omega^{n-i} \\
&\quad +(k+1)\alpha^k\int_M X(f)\omega^n \\
&\quad +\int_M \sum_{i=1}^{k+1}(k+1)\binom{k}{i}\theta_X(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}f)^i\alpha^{k+1-i}\omega^{n-i}. \qquad (3.7) \quad \boxed{\texttt{3.7}}
\end{aligned}$$

By using (3.2) in (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_k(X,\omega) &= (n-k)(1-\alpha^{k+1})\int_M \theta_X\omega^n + (k+1)\alpha^k\int_M X(f)\omega^n \\ &\quad -(k+1)\int_M \theta_X\sum_{i=1}^{k}\binom{k}{i}(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}f)^i\alpha^{k-i+1}\omega^{n-i} \\ &\quad +\int_M\sum_{i=1}^{k}(k+1)\binom{k}{i}\theta_X(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}f)^i\alpha^{k+1-i}\omega^{n-i} \\ &= (n-k)(1-\alpha^{k+1})\int_M \theta_X w^n + (k+1)\alpha^k\int_M X(f)\omega^n.\end{aligned}$$

If the Kähler form is normalized, then we can choose $\alpha = 1$. These holomorphic invariants are simply the Futaki invariants on compact Kähler manifolds with $c_1(M) > 0$. Indeed Kobayashi [11] proved that a compact Kähler manifold with positive Ricci curvature is simply connected. □

The generalized energy functionals introduced in the same paper are the nonlinearizations of these holomorphic invariants. The Futaki invariant can have different nonlinearizations.

4. HIGHER ORDER K-ENERGY FUNCTIONALS

In 1986, Mabuchi first introduced K-energy as the nonlinearization of the Futaki invariant [15]. The critical point of the K-energy functional is the Kähler-Einstein form. K-energy are studied to understand the stability of Kähler manifolds by Tian [18, 19, 20], Phong, and Sturm [16, 17]. Furthermore, Lu [14] provided the K-energy in an explicit formula for the hypersurface in the projective spaces. Phong and Sturm [17] formulized it on complete intersections using the Deligne pairing technique. Moreover, Bando and Mabuchi constructed higher-order K-energy functionals [2], which are considered as nonlinearizations of the Bando-Futaki invariants [2]. (cf. Theorem 2 of Weinkove's [22]) However, we can remove Weinkove's assumption, which states that the qth-Chern form $c_q(\omega)$ is in the same cohomology class as $\mu_q[\omega^q] \in \mathrm{H}^{2q}(M,\mathbb{Z})$ where ω is the Kähler form and μ_q. Most importantly, he [22] derived the higher order K-energy as a generalization of Tian's formula of K-energy [18]. Bando and Mabuchi's proof [2] is discussed in detail in the following proof concerning the independence of the choice of paths of higher order K-energy functionals in the Kähler class by using Mabuchi's method [15].

Definition 4.1. *Let M be a connected compact n-dimensional Kähler manifold with positive first Chern class. Let Ω be the Kähler class which represents the first Chern form. For any $\omega_0, \omega_1 \in \Omega$, let ω_t, $0 \le t \le 1$, be a curve joining ω_0 and ω_1. Since M is Kähler, there exists a smooth real valued function φ_t such that $\omega_t = \omega_0 + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_t$ with $\int_M \frac{d}{dt}\varphi_t\omega_t^n = 0$. Define higher order K-energy functionals as*

$$M_q(\omega_0,\omega_1) = \frac{1}{V}\int_0^1\int_M \frac{d\varphi_t}{dt}(c_q(\omega_t) - Hc_q(\omega_t))\wedge\omega_t^{n-q}dt, \tag{4.1}$$

where $V = \int_M \omega_{FS}|_M^n$ and $Hc_q(\omega_t)$ is the harmonic part of $c_q(\omega)$.

The independence of path choosing in the Kähler class for K-energy functionals was proved Mabuchi [15], and for the higher order K-energy functionals was proved by Bando and Mabuchi B-M [2] when $1 \leq q \leq n$. Recently, Weinkove gave an alternative derivation of the proof by using Bott-Chern forms.

Let us re-prove the argument of Bando-Mabuchi in detail. First, we need

Sublemma 4.1 (Bando BS [1]). *$Hc_q(\omega) \wedge \omega^{n-q}$ is harmonic if $\omega \in \Omega$.*

Proof. We may use Lefschetz decomposition theorem,

$$Hc_q(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{q} \omega^k \wedge \varphi_k,$$

where $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{H}^{2q-2k}(M, \mathbb{C})$ is the primitive $2(q-k)$-form of $Hc_q(\omega)$. Therefore, $Hc_q(\omega) \wedge \omega^{n-q} = \sum_{k=0}^{q} \omega^{n-q+k} \wedge \varphi_k$ is harmonic. We can also see this in a different method. Let $L\eta = \omega \wedge \eta$. We know $[\Delta, L] = 0$. Since $\Delta Hc_q(\omega) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(Hc_q(\omega) \wedge \omega^{n-q}) &= \Delta(\omega^{n-q} \wedge Hc_q(\omega)) \\ &= \omega^{n-q} \wedge \Delta(Hc_q(\omega)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\dim(\mathcal{H}^{2n}(M, \mathbb{C})) = 1$ and $Hc_q(\omega) \wedge \omega^{n-q} \in \mathcal{H}^{2n}(M)$, $Hc_q(\omega) \wedge \omega^{n-q} = \lambda_q \omega^n$. Since M is compact, λ_q must be a constant. □

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M c_q(\omega) \wedge \omega^{n-q} &= \int_M (Hc_q(\omega) + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial} f_q) \wedge \omega^{n-q} \\ &= \int_M Hc_q(\omega) \wedge \omega^{n-q} = \lambda_q \int_M \omega^n. \end{aligned}$$

We can conclude that Definition 4.1 is the same as in B-M [2]

$$\frac{1}{V}\int_0^1 \int_M \frac{d\varphi_t}{dt}(c_q(\omega_t) - Hc_q(\omega_t)) \wedge \omega_t^{n-q} dt = \frac{1}{V}\int_0^1 \int_M \frac{d\varphi_t}{dt}(c_q(\omega_t) \wedge \omega_t^{n-q} - \lambda_q \omega_t^n) dt. \tag{4.2}$$ 34

Sublemma 4.2. *$\int_0^1 \int_M \frac{d\varphi_t}{dt} \lambda_q \omega_t^n dt$ is independent of path choosing in the Kähler class.*

It is trivial by the following method.

Sublemma 4.3. *$\int_0^1 \int_M \frac{d\varphi_t}{dt} c_q(\omega_t) \wedge \omega^{n-q}$ is independent of path choosing in the Kähler class.*

Proof. Let $\omega_0 = (n-d+1)\omega_{FS}|_M$, $\omega_{s,t} = \omega_{0,0} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\psi_{s,t}$ and $\psi_{s,t} = s\varphi_t$, where $\varphi_t(z) \in C^\infty([0,1] \times M)$. Let

$$\Psi_{s,t}^q = \left(\int_M \frac{\partial \psi_{s,t}}{\partial s} c_q(\omega_{s,t}) \wedge \omega_{s,t}^{n-q} \right) ds + \left(\int_M \frac{\partial \psi_{s,t}}{\partial t} c_q(\omega_{s,t}) \wedge \omega_{s,t}^{n-q} \right) dt. \tag{4.3}$$ 40

Use Stoke's theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1\int_0^1 d\Psi^q_{s,t} &= -\int_0^1\left(\int_M \frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial s}c_q(\omega_{s,t})\wedge\omega_{s,t}^{n-q}\right)ds\Big|_{t=0}^{t=1}\\ &\quad+\int_0^1\left(\int_M \frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial t}c_q(\omega_{s,t})\wedge\omega_{s,t}^{n-q}\right)\Big|_{s=0}^{s=1}dt\\ &= -\int_0^1\left(\int_M \varphi_t c_q(\omega_{s,t})\wedge\omega_{s,t}^{n-q}\right)ds\Big|_{t=0}^{t=1}\\ &\quad-\int_0^1\left(\int_M \dot{\varphi}_t c_q(\omega_{s,t})\wedge\omega_{s,t}^{n-q}\right)dt. \end{aligned}\tag{4.4} \quad \boxed{\texttt{35}}$$

`sub4.4` **Sublemma 4.4.** *For the one form $\Psi^q_{s,t}$ in ($\overset{40}{4.3}$), we have $d\Psi^q_{s,t}=0$.*

Suppose that Sublemma $\overset{\texttt{sub4.4}}{4.4}$ is true. Let $\varphi_0=\varphi_1$ in ($\overset{36}{4.5}$) to get

$$\int_0^1\left(\int_M \dot{\varphi}_t c_q(\omega_{s,t})\wedge\omega_{s,t}^{n-q}\right)dt = -\int_0^1\left(\int_M \varphi_t c_q(\omega_{s,t})\wedge\omega_{s,t}^{n-q}\right)ds\Big|_{t=0}^{t=1}=0$$

This shows that it is independent of path choosing of ω_t. □

Proof of the Sublemma $\overset{\texttt{sub4.4}}{4.4}$. By further computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\Psi^q &= -\int_M \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial s}c_q(\omega_{s,t})\wedge\omega_{s,t}^{n-q}\right)ds\wedge dt\\ &\quad+\int_M \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial t}c_q(\omega_{s,t})\wedge\omega_{s,t}^{n-q}\right)ds\wedge dt\\ &= -\int_M \frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial s}\tilde{P}^q(-\bar\partial(\nabla\frac{\partial h_{s,t}}{\partial t}h_{s,t}^{-1}),R_{s,t},\cdots,R_{s,t})\wedge\omega_{s,t}^{n-q}ds\wedge dt\\ &\quad-(n-q)\int_M \frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial s}c_q(\omega_{s,t})\wedge\partial\bar\partial\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial t}\omega_{s,t}^{n-1-q}ds\wedge dt\\ &\quad+\int_M \frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial t}\tilde{P}^q(-\bar\partial(\nabla\frac{\partial h_{s,t}}{\partial s}h_{s,t}^{-1}),R_{s,t},\cdots,R_{s,t})\wedge\omega_{s,t}^{n-q}ds\wedge dt\\ &\quad-(n-q)\int_M \frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial t}c_q(\omega_{s,t})\wedge\partial\bar\partial\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial s}\omega_{s,t}^{n-1-q}ds\wedge dt\\ &= -\int_M \partial\bar\partial\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial s}\tilde{P}^q(\frac{\partial h_{s,t}}{\partial t}h_{s,t}^{-1},R_{s,t},\cdots,R_{s,t})\wedge\omega_{s,t}^{n-q}ds\wedge dt\\ &\quad+(n-q)\int_M \partial\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial s}c_q(\omega_{s,t})\wedge\bar\partial\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial t}\omega_{s,t}^{n-1-q}ds\wedge dt\\ &\quad+\int_M \partial\bar\partial\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial t}\tilde{P}^q(\frac{\partial h_{s,t}}{\partial s}h_{s,t}^{-1},R_{s,t},\cdots,R_{s,t})\wedge\omega_{s,t}^{n-q}ds\wedge dt\\ &\quad+(n-q)\int_M \bar\partial\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial t}c_q(\omega_{s,t})\wedge\partial\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial s}\omega_{s,t}^{n-1-q}ds\wedge dt, \end{aligned}\tag{4.5} \quad \boxed{\texttt{36}}$$

where $\omega_{s,t}=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{\alpha,\beta}(h_{s,t})_{\alpha\bar\beta}dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar z_\beta$ and $R_{s,t}=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\bar\partial[(\partial h_{s,t})h_{s,t}^{-1})]$ is the curvature form with respect to metric $\omega_{s,t}$. We need to show that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M \partial\bar\partial\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial s}\tilde{P}^q(\frac{\partial h_{s,t}}{\partial t}h_{s,t}^{-1},R_{s,t},\cdots,R_{s,t})\wedge\omega_{s,t}^{n-q}\\ &\qquad=\int_M \partial\bar\partial\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial t}\tilde{P}^q(\frac{\partial h_{s,t}}{\partial s}h_{s,t}^{-1},R_{s,t},\cdots,R_{s,t})\wedge\omega_{s,t}^{n-q}\end{aligned}$$

to conclude $d\Psi^q = 0$. Compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial\bar\partial\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial s}\tilde{P}^q(\frac{\partial h_{s,t}}{\partial t}h_{s,t}^{-1}, R_{s,t}, \cdots, R_{s,t}) \wedge \omega_{s,t}^{n-q} \\
&\quad = \tilde{P}^q(\frac{\partial h_{s,t}}{\partial t}h_{s,t}^{-1}\partial\bar\partial\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial s}, R_{s,t}, \cdots, R_{s,t}) \wedge \omega_{s,t}^{n-q} \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{q!}\sum_{\sigma,\tau\in S_q} sgn(\sigma)sgn(\tau)\frac{\partial(h_{s,t})_{i_1\bar{j}}}{\partial t}h_{s,t}^{i_{\sigma(1)}\bar{j}}\partial_{\alpha_1}\bar\partial_{\beta_1}\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial s} \\
&\qquad\quad \times \left((R_{s,t})^{i_{\sigma(2)}}_{i_2\alpha_2\bar\beta_2}\cdots(R_{s,t})^{i_{\sigma(q)}}_{i_q\alpha_q\bar\beta_q}\right)(h_{s,t})^{\alpha_{\tau(1)}\bar\beta_1}\cdots(h_{s,t})^{\alpha_{\tau(q)}\bar\beta_q}\omega_{s,t}^n.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

$\boxed{\texttt{4.5}}$

Since $\frac{\partial(h_{s,t})_{i_1\bar{j}}}{\partial t} = \partial_{i_1}\bar\partial_j\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial t}$, ($\overset{\texttt{4.5}}{4.6}$) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{q!}\sum_{\sigma,\tau\in S_q} sgn(\sigma)sgn(\tau)\partial_{i_1}\bar\partial_j\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial t}(h_{s,t})^{i_{\sigma(1)}\bar{j}}\partial_{\alpha_1}\bar\partial_{\beta_1}\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial s} \\
&\quad \times \left((R_{s,t})_{i_2\bar\eta_2\alpha_2\bar\beta_2}(h_{s,t})^{i_{\sigma(2)}\bar\eta_2}\cdots(R_{s,t})_{i_q\bar\eta_q\alpha_q\bar\beta_q}(h_{s,t})^{i_{\sigma(q)}\bar\eta_q}\right) \\
&\quad \times(h_{s,t})^{\alpha_{\tau(1)}\bar\beta_1}\cdots(h_{s,t})^{\alpha_{\tau(k)}\bar\beta_q}\omega_{s,t}^n \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{q!}\sum_{\sigma,\tau\in S_q} sgn(\sigma)sgn(\tau)\partial_{i_1}\bar\partial_j\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial t}(h_{s,t})^{i_{\sigma(1)}\bar{j}}\partial_{\alpha_1}\bar\partial_{\beta_1}\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial s} \\
&\quad \times \left((R_{s,t})_{\alpha_2\bar\beta_2 i_2\bar\eta_2}(h_{s,t})^{i_{\sigma(2)}\bar\eta_2}\cdots(R_{s,t})_{\alpha_q\bar\beta_q i_q\bar\eta_q}(h_{s,t})^{i_{\sigma(q)}\bar\eta_q}\right) \\
&\quad \times(h_{s,t})^{\alpha_{\tau(1)}\bar\beta_1}\cdots(h_{s,t})^{\alpha_{\tau(k)}\bar\beta_q}\omega_{s,t}^n \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{q!}\sum_{\sigma,\tau\in S_q} sgn(\sigma)sgn(\tau)\partial_{i_1}\bar\partial_j\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial t}\partial_{\alpha_1}\bar\partial_{\beta_1}\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial s}(h_{s,t})^{\alpha_{\tau(1)}\bar\beta_1} \\
&\quad \times \left((R_{s,t})^{\alpha_{\tau(2)}}_{\alpha_2 i_2\bar\eta_2}\cdots(R_{s,t})^{\alpha_{\tau(q)}}_{\alpha_q i_q\bar\eta_q}\right)(h_{s,t})^{i_{\sigma(1)}\bar{j}}(h_{s,t})^{i_{\sigma(2)}\bar\eta_2}\cdots(h_{s,t})^{i_{\sigma(q)}\bar\eta_q}w_{s,t}^n \\
&\quad = \partial\bar\partial\frac{\partial\psi_{s,t}}{\partial t}\tilde{P}^q(\frac{\partial h_{s,t}}{\partial s}h_{s,t}^{-1}, R_{s,t}, \cdots, R_{s,t}) \wedge \omega_{s,t}^{n-q}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We restate and clarify as follows:

Lemma 4.1. ($\overset{\texttt{B-M, WB}}{[2, 22]}$) *Higher order K-energy functionals are the nonlinearizations of Bando-Futaki invariants.*

$$\frac{2}{V}\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{F}_q(X)) = (n+1-q)\frac{d}{dt}M_q(\omega_0, \omega_t) \tag{4.7}$$

$\boxed{\texttt{37}}$

Let M be an n-dimensional compact connected Kähler manifold in $\mathbb{CP}^N$ with positive first Chern class. There exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that $\alpha\omega_{FS}|_M \in c_1(M)$, where ω_{FS} is the Fubini-Study metric in $\mathbb{CP}^N$. Let σ_t be a one-parameter family of automorphism of $\mathbb{CP}^N$ and X be the holomorphic vector field induced by σ_t. We may write

$$\sigma_t[Z_0, \cdots, Z_N] = [e^{\lambda_0 t}Z_0, \cdots, e^{\lambda_N t}Z_N]$$

for integers $\lambda_0, \cdots, \lambda_N$ with $\sum_{i=0}^N \lambda_i = 0$. Then $\omega_t = \alpha\sigma_t^*\omega_{FS}|_M$ restricts a family of metrics on M, such that $w_0 = \alpha\omega_{FS}|_M$. Recall $\omega_{FS} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\log(\sum_{i=0}^N |Z_i|^2)$.

Hence,
$\sigma_t^*\omega_{FS} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\log(\sum_{i=0}^N |e^{\lambda_i t}Z_i|^2)$. Let

$$\varphi_t = \alpha\log\left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^N |e^{\lambda_i t}Z_i|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^N |Z_i|^2}\right).$$

It follows

$$\omega_t - \omega_0 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\varphi_t.$$

Then

$$\frac{d\varphi_t}{dt} = \frac{2\alpha\mathrm{Re}\sum_{i=0}^N \lambda_i e^{\lambda_i t}Z_i\overline{e^{\lambda_i t}Z_i}}{\sum_{i=0}^N e^{\lambda_i t}Z_i} = -2\mathrm{Re}(\alpha\theta\circ\sigma_t),$$

where $i(X)\omega_{FS} = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\bar\partial\theta$, and $\theta = -\frac{\sum_{i=0}^N |\lambda_i Z_i|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^N |Z_i|^2}$. From BS [1] and Lemma 4.1 in WB [22], the Bando-Futaki invariants can be written as

$$\mathcal{F}_q(X) = -(n+1-q)\int_M \alpha\theta(c_q(\omega) - Hc_q(\omega))\wedge\omega^{n-q},$$

where $\omega = \alpha\omega_{FS}|_M$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(n+1-q)\frac{d}{dt}M_q(\omega,\omega_t)\\
&= (n+1-q)\frac{1}{V}\int_M \frac{d\varphi_t}{dt}(c_q(\omega_t) - Hc_q(\omega_t))\wedge\omega_t^{n-q}\\
&= -(n+1-q)\frac{1}{V}\int_M 2\mathrm{Re}(\alpha\theta\circ\sigma_t)(c_q(\omega_t) - Hc_q(\omega_t))\wedge\omega_t^{n-q}\\
&= -(n+1-q)\frac{2}{V}\mathrm{Re}\left(\int_M \alpha\theta(c_q(\omega) - Hc_q(\omega))\wedge\omega^{n-q}\right)\\
&= \frac{2}{V}\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{F}_q(X)),
\end{aligned}$$

since Bando-Futaki invariants are independent of the choices of metrics in the Kähler class.

5. APPENDIX

lem5.1 **Lemma 5.1.** *For $q = 2,\cdots,n-1$, let*

$$Y = \sum_{i_1=2}^n Y^{i_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i_1}} \in T^{1,0}(M)\otimes\wedge^{q-1}(T^{1,0}(M)^*\otimes\overline{T^{1,0}(M)}^*)$$

be a holomorphic vector field with $(q-1,q-1)$-valued form, where

$$Y^{i_1} = X^{i_1}P^{q-1}(\Theta) + \sum_{j=2}^q\sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_j=2}^n(-1)^{j-1}X^{i_2}\Theta_{i_2}^{i_3}\cdots\Theta_{i_j}^{i_1}P^{q-j}(\Theta).$$

Then we have $q\tilde P^q(\nabla X,\Theta,\cdots,\Theta) = \mathrm{div(Y)}$. For $q = 1$, we have $Y = X$ and $\tilde P^1(\nabla X) = \mathrm{div}(X)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{div}(Y) &= \sum_{i_1=2}^{n} \nabla_{i_1} Y^{i_1} \\
&= \sum_{i_1=2}^{n} X_{i_1}^{i_1} P^{q-1} + \sum_{i_1=2}^{n} X^{i_1}\nabla_{i_1} P^{q-1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=2}^{q} \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n} (-1)^{j-1} X_{i_1}^{i_2}\Theta_{i_2}^{i_3}\cdots\Theta_{i_j}^{i_1} P^{q-j}(\Theta) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=2}^{q} \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n} (-1)^{j-1} X^{i_2}\nabla_{i_1}\big(\Theta_{i_2}^{i_3}\cdots\Theta_{i_j}^{i_1}\big) P^{q-j}(\Theta) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=2}^{q} \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n} (-1)^{j-1} X^{i_2}\Theta_{i_2}^{i_3}\cdots\Theta_{i_j}^{i_1}\nabla_{i_1}(P^{q-j}(\Theta)). \qquad (5.1) \quad \boxed{\texttt{5.1}}
\end{aligned}$$

By using the definition of $q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta)$ in ($\overset{\texttt{2.33}}{2.46}$), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{div}(Y) &= q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X, \Theta, \cdots, \Theta) + \sum_{i_1=2}^{n} X^{i_1}\nabla_{i_1} P^{q-1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=2}^{q} \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n} (-1)^{j} X^{i_2}\nabla_{i_1}(\Theta_{i_2}^{i_3}\cdots\Theta_{i_j}^{i_1}) P^{q-j}(\Theta) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=2}^{q} \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n} (-1)^{j} X^{i_2}\Theta_{i_2}^{i_3}\cdots\Theta_{i_j}^{i_1}\nabla_{i_1} P^{q-j}(\Theta). \qquad (5.2) \quad \boxed{\texttt{5.2}}
\end{aligned}$$

It is equivalent to show the following Sublemma.

$\boxed{\texttt{sub5.1}}$ **Sublemma 5.1.** *For $q = 2, \cdots, n-1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i_1=2}^{n} X^{i_1}\nabla_{i_1} P^{q-1} &= \sum_{j=2}^{q} \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n} (-1)^{j} X^{i_2}\nabla_{i_1}(\Theta_{i_2}^{i_3}\cdots\Theta_{i_j}^{i_1}) P^{q-j}(\Theta) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=2}^{q} \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n} (-1)^{j} X^{i_2}\Theta_{i_2}^{i_3}\cdots\Theta_{i_j}^{i_1}\nabla_{i_1} P^{q-j}(\Theta). \quad (5.3) \quad \boxed{\texttt{5.3}}
\end{aligned}$$

For $q = 1$, ($\overset{\texttt{5.3}}{5.3}$) is zero.

We need two formulas before proving Sublemma $\overset{\texttt{sub5.1}}{5.1}$.

$\boxed{\texttt{sub5.2}}$ **Sublemma 5.2.** *For $2 \le i \le n$ and $q = 1, \cdots, n-1$, we compute the covariant derivative on the curvature form and the Chern forms*

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_i \Theta_j^k &= \nabla_j \Theta_i^k, \qquad (5.4) \quad \boxed{\texttt{5.4}} \\
\nabla_i P^q(\Theta) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{q} \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_\ell=2}^{n} (-1)^{\ell-1}\frac{1}{\ell}\nabla_i(\Theta_{i_1}^{i_2}\Theta_{i_2}^{i_3}\cdots\Theta_{i_\ell}^{i_1}) P^{q-\ell}(\Theta), \qquad (5.5) \quad \boxed{\texttt{5.5}}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta_j^k = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{s,t=2}^{n} R_{js\bar{t}}^k dz_s \wedge d\bar{z}_t = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{s,t=2}^{n} \bar{\partial}_t \Gamma_{sj}^k dz_s \wedge d\bar{z}_t$. Since M is Kähler, $\Gamma_{sj}^k = \Gamma_{js}^k = \frac{\partial \tilde{g}_{s\bar{\beta}}}{\partial z_j}\tilde{g}^{k\bar{\beta}}$.

Proof. First, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_i R^k_{js\bar t} &= -\partial_i\bar\partial_t\Gamma^k_{js} + \Gamma^k_{i\lambda}R^\lambda_{js\bar t} - \Gamma^\lambda_{ij}R^k_{\lambda s\bar t} - \Gamma^\lambda_{is}R^k_{j\lambda\bar t}\\ &= -\bar\partial_t\left(\frac{\partial^2\tilde g_{s\bar\beta}}{\partial z_i\partial z_j}\tilde g^{k\bar\beta}\right) + \bar\partial_t\left(\frac{\partial\tilde g_{s\bar\beta}}{\partial z_j}\frac{\partial\tilde g_{\alpha\bar\eta}}{\partial z_i}\tilde g^{k\bar\eta}\tilde g^{\alpha\bar\beta}\right)\\ &\quad +\Gamma^k_{i\lambda}R^\lambda_{js\bar t} - \Gamma^\lambda_{ij}R^k_{\lambda s\bar t} - \Gamma^\lambda_{is}R^k_{j\lambda\bar t}\\ &= -\bar\partial_t\left(\frac{\partial^2\tilde g_{s\bar\beta}}{\partial z_i\partial z_j}\tilde g^{k\bar\beta}\right) - \Gamma^k_{i\alpha}R^\alpha_{js\bar t} - \Gamma^\alpha_{js}R^k_{i\alpha\bar t}\\ &\quad +\Gamma^k_{i\lambda}R^\lambda_{js\bar t} - \Gamma^\lambda_{ij}R^k_{\lambda s\bar t} - \Gamma^\lambda_{is}R^k_{j\lambda\bar t}. \qquad (5.6) \quad \boxed{\texttt{5.6}}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_j R^k_{is\bar t} &= -\bar\partial_t\left(\frac{\partial^2\tilde g_{s\bar\beta}}{\partial z_j\partial z_i}\tilde g^{k\bar\beta}\right) - \Gamma^k_{j\alpha}R^\alpha_{is\bar t} - \Gamma^\alpha_{is}R^k_{j\alpha\bar t}\\ &\quad +\Gamma^k_{j\lambda}R^\lambda_{is\bar t} - \Gamma^\lambda_{ji}R^k_{\lambda s\bar t} - \Gamma^\lambda_{js}R^k_{i\lambda\bar t}. \qquad (5.7) \quad \boxed{\texttt{5.7}}\end{aligned}$$

Since M is Kähler, $\Gamma^\lambda_{ij} = \Gamma^\lambda_{ji}$. Therefore, (5.6) and (5.7) are equal. Then we get (5.4). Next, we prove (5.5) by induction. For $q = 1$, $\nabla_i P^1(\Theta) = \nabla_i \sum_{i_1=2}^n \Theta^{i_1}_{i_1}$. Assume that (5.5) is true for $2 \le k \le q-1$. By using (2.14), compute the covariant derivative on q-chern form:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_i P^q(\Theta) &= \frac{1}{q}\sum_{j=1}^q (-1)^{j-1}\nabla_i(\Theta^{i_2}_{i_1}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j})P^{q-j}(\Theta)\\ &\quad +\frac{1}{q}\sum_{j=1}^q (-1)^{j-1}\Theta^{i_2}_{i_1}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\nabla_i P^{q-j}(\Theta). \qquad (5.8) \quad \boxed{\texttt{5.8}}\end{aligned}$$

By using induction hypothesis on the second term of (5.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{q}\sum_{j=1}^q (-1)^{j-1}\Theta^{i_2}_{i_1}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\nabla_i P^{q-j}(\Theta)\\ &\quad = \frac{1}{q}\sum_{j=1}^q (-1)^{j-1}\Theta^{i_2}_{i_1}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\sum_{\ell=1}^{q-j}(-1)^{\ell-1}\frac{1}{\ell}\nabla_i(\Theta^{i_{j+2}}_{i_{j+1}}\cdots\Theta^{i_{j+1}}_{i_{j+\ell}})P^{q-j-\ell}(\Theta)\\ &\quad = \frac{1}{q}\sum_{\ell=1}^q (-1)^{\ell-1}\frac{1}{\ell}\nabla_i(\Theta^{i_{j+2}}_{i_{j+1}}\cdots\Theta^{i_{j+1}}_{i_{j+\ell}})\sum_{j=1}^{q-\ell}(-1)^{j-1}\Theta^{i_2}_{i_1}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}P^{q-j-\ell}(\Theta)\\ &\quad = \frac{1}{q}\sum_{\ell=1}^q (-1)^{\ell-1}\frac{q-\ell}{\ell}\nabla_i(\Theta^{i_2}_{i_1}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_\ell})P^{q-\ell}(\Theta) \qquad (5.9) \quad \boxed{\texttt{5.9}}\end{aligned}$$

By substituting (5.9) back to (5.8), we obtain (5.5). □

Proof of Sublemma 5.1. Prove by induction. For $q = 2$, by using (5.3), we get

$$X^i\nabla_i\Theta^j_j = X^i\nabla_j\Theta^j_i = X^j\nabla_i\Theta^i_j.$$

Assume that ($\overset{\texttt{5.3}}{5.3}$) holds for $k = 2, \cdots, q-1$. By using ($\overset{\texttt{5.5}}{5.5}$), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i_1=2}^{n} X^{i_1}\nabla_{i_1}P^{q-1}(\Theta) - \sum_{j=2}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}(-1)^j X^{i_2}\nabla_{i_1}(\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j})P^{q-j}(\Theta)\\
&\qquad\qquad - \sum_{j=2}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}(-1)^j X^{i_2}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\nabla_{i_1}P^{q-j}(\Theta)\\
&= \sum_{j=2}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}(-1)^j\frac{1}{j-1}X^{i_1}\nabla_{i_1}(\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_2}_{i_j})P^{q-j}(\Theta)\\
&\qquad\qquad - \sum_{j=2}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}(-1)^j X^{i_2}\nabla_{i_1}(\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j})P^{q-j}(\Theta)\\
&- \sum_{j=2}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}(-1)^j X^{i_2}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\sum_{\ell=1}^{q-j}(-1)^{\ell-1}\frac{1}{\ell}\nabla_{i_1}(\Theta^{i_{j+2}}_{i_{j+1}}\cdots\Theta^{i_{j+1}}_{i_{j+\ell}})P^{q-j}(\Theta).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

$\boxed{\texttt{5.10}}$

In fact, we have

$$\nabla_{i_1}\Big(\sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_2}_{i_j}\Big) = (j-1)\sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}(\nabla_{i_1}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2})\cdots\Theta^{i_2}_{i_j}, \tag{5.11}$$

$\boxed{\texttt{5.11}}$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{i_1}(\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}) &= \big(\nabla_{i_1}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\big)\Theta^{i_4}_{i_3}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j} + \sum_{k=3}^{j-1}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_k}_{i_{k-1}}\big(\nabla_{i_1}\Theta^{i_{k+1}}_{i_k}\big)\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\\
&\qquad + \Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_j}_{i_{j-1}}\big(\nabla_{i_1}\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

$\boxed{\texttt{5.111}}$

Use ($\overset{\texttt{5.11}}{5.11}$) in the first term on the right hand side of ($\overset{\texttt{5.10}}{5.10}$) and use ($\overset{\texttt{5.111}}{5.12}$) in the second term of ($\overset{\texttt{5.10}}{5.10}$). We get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i_1,i_2=2}^{n} X^{i_1}\nabla_{i_1}\Theta^{i_2}_{i_2}P^{q-2}(\Theta) + \sum_{j=3}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}(-1)^j X^{i_1}\big(\nabla_{i_1}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\big)\cdots\Theta^{i_2}_{i_j}P^{q-j}\\
&- \sum_{j=2}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}(-1)^j X^{i_2}\big(\nabla_{i_1}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\big)\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}P^{q-j}(\Theta)\\
&- \sum_{j=2}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}(-1)^j\sum_{k=3}^{j-1}X^{i_2}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_k}_{i_{k-1}}\big(\nabla_{i_1}\Theta^{i_{k+1}}_{i_k}\big)\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}P^{q-j}(\Theta)\\
&- \sum_{j=2}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}(-1)^j X^{i_2}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\big(\nabla_{i_1}\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\big)P^{q-j}(\Theta)\\
&- \sum_{j=2}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_{j+\ell}=2}^{n}(-1)^j X^{i_2}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\sum_{\ell=1}^{q-j}(-1)^{\ell+1}\big(\nabla_{i_1}\Theta^{i_{j+2}}_{i_{j+1}}\big)\cdots\Theta^{i_{j+1}}_{i_{j+\ell}}P^{q-j}(\Theta).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

$\boxed{\texttt{5.12}}$

By using (5.4) on the third term of (5.13), the first three terms of (5.13) are cancelled out. Change the indices in the last term of (5.13)

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{j=2}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_{j+\ell}=2}^{n}(-1)^j X^{i_2}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\sum_{\ell=1}^{q-j}(-1)^{\ell+1}\big(\nabla_{i_1}\Theta^{i_{j+2}}_{i_{j+1}}\big)\cdots\Theta^{i_{j+1}}_{i_{j+\ell}}P^{q-j}(\Theta)\\
&\quad=-\sum_{j=2}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}\sum_{k=3}^{j-1}(-1)^{j-1}X^{i_2}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_{k-1}}\big(\nabla_{i_1}\Theta^{i_{k+1}}_{i_k}\big)\cdots\Theta^{i_k}_{i_j}P^{q-j}(\Theta)\\
&\qquad-\sum_{j=2}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}(-1)^{j-1}X^{i_2}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_{j-1}}\big(\nabla_{i_1}\Theta^{i_j}_{i_j}\big)P^{q-j}(\Theta). \qquad (5.14)
\end{aligned}$$

5.13

By using (5.4) in (5.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{j=2}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_{j+\ell}=2}^{n}(-1)^j X^{i_2}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\sum_{\ell=1}^{q-j}(-1)^{\ell+1}\big(\nabla_{i_1}\Theta^{i_{j+2}}_{i_{j+1}}\big)\cdots\Theta^{i_{j+1}}_{i_{j+\ell}}P^{q-j}(\Theta)\\
&\quad=\sum_{j=2}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}\sum_{k=3}^{j}(-1)^{j-1}X^{i_2}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_{k-1}}\big(\nabla_{i_k}\Theta^{i_{k+1}}_{i_1}\big)\cdots\Theta^{i_k}_{i_j}P^{q-j}(\Theta)\\
&\qquad+\sum_{j=2}^{q}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}(-1)^{j-1}X^{i_2}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\big(\nabla_{i_j}\Theta^{i_j}_{i_1}\big)P^{q-j}(\Theta). \qquad (5.15)
\end{aligned}$$

5.14

By substituting (5.15) back to (5.13), the last three terms on of (5.13) are cancelled out. Therefore, (5.13) is equal to 0. □

□

By Lemma 5.1,we have

$$\int_M q\tilde{P}^q(\nabla X,\Theta,\cdots,\Theta)\wedge\omega_M^{n-q}=\int_M \mathrm{div(Y)}\wedge\omega_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{n-q}}.$$

Theorem 5.1 (General divergence theorem)**.** *Given a holomorphic vector field with $(q-1,q-1)$-valued form Y defined in Lemma 5.1, we have*

$$\int_M \mathrm{div(Y)}\wedge\omega_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{n-q}}=\int_{\mathrm{M}}\mathrm{L_Y}(\omega^{\mathrm{n-q}})=0.$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{Y}=\sum_{i_1=2}^n\sum_{j=2}^q\sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_j=2}^n(-1)^{j-1}X^{i_2}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}P^{q-j}(\Theta)\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i_1}}$. We have $Y=\sum_{i_1=2}^n X^{i_1}P^{q-1}(\Theta)\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i_1}}+\tilde{Y}$. Compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M L_{\tilde{Y}}(\omega^{n-q})\\
&=(n-q)\int_M\sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_j=2}^{n}(-1)^{j-1}X^{i_2}\Theta^{i_3}_{i_2}\cdots\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\wedge(\tilde{g}_{i_1\bar{\lambda}}d\bar{z}_\lambda)\wedge P^{q-j}(\Theta)\wedge\omega^{n-1-q}.
\end{aligned}$$

On Kähler manifolds, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i_1,\lambda=2}^{n}\Theta^{i_1}_{i_j}\wedge(\tilde{g}_{i_1\bar{\lambda}}d\bar{z}_\lambda) &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{i_1,\alpha,\beta,\lambda=2}^{n}R_{i_j\bar{\lambda}\alpha\bar{\beta}}dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar{z}_\beta\wedge d\bar{z}_\lambda\\ &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{i_1,\alpha,\beta,\lambda=2}^{n}R_{i_j\bar{\beta}\alpha\bar{\lambda}}dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar{z}_\beta\wedge d\bar{z}_\lambda = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain $\int_M L_{\tilde{Y}}(\omega^{n-q}) = 0$. By the linearity of vector addition and (2.82), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M L_Y(\omega^{n-q}) &= \int_M L_{P^{q-1}(\Theta)\otimes X}(\omega^{n-q})\\ &= \int_M P^{q-1}(\Theta)\wedge L_X(\omega^{n-q})\\ &= \int_M L_X(P^{q-1}(\Theta)\wedge\omega^{n-q}). \qquad (5.16)\end{aligned}$$

By (5.16), Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M L_Y(\omega^{n-q}) &= \int_M L_X(P^{q-1}(\Theta)\wedge\omega^{n-q})\\ &= q\int_M \tilde{P}^q(\nabla X,\Theta,\cdots,\Theta)\wedge\omega^{n-q}\\ &= \int_M \mathrm{div}(Y)\wedge\omega^{n-q}\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

References

[1] S. Bando. An obstruction for chern class forms to be harmonic. unpublished, 1983.

[2] S. Bando and T. Mabuchi. On some integral invariants on complex manifolds. I. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 62(5):197–200, 1986.

[3] E. Calabi. Extremal Kähler metrics. II. In *Differential geometry and complex analysis*, pages 95–114. Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[4] X. X. Chen and G. Tian. Ricci flow on Kähler-Einstein surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 147(3):487–544, 2002.

[5] W. Y. Ding and G. Tian. Kähler-Einstein metrics and the generalized Futaki invariant. *Invent. Math.*, 110(2):315–335, 1992.

[6] A. Futaki. An obstruction to the existence of Einstein Kähler metrics. *Invent. Math.*, 73(3):437–443, 1983.

[7] A. Futaki. *Kähler-Einstein metrics and integral invariants*, volume 1314 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[8] A. Futaki. Asymptotic Chow semi-stability and integral invariants. *Internat. J. Math.*, 15(9):967–979, 2004.

[9] A. Futaki and S. Morita. Invariant polynomials of the automorphism group of a compact complex manifold. *J. Differential Geom.*, 21(1):135–142, 1985.

[10] P. Griffiths and J. Harris. *Principles of algebraic geometry*. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1978. Pure and Applied Mathematics.

[11] S. Kobayashi. On compact Kähler manifolds with positive definite Ricci tensor. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 74:570–574, 1961.

[12] N. C. Leung. Bando Futaki invariants and Kähler Einstein metric. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 6(4):799–808, 1998.

[13] Z. Lu. On the Futaki invariants of complete intersections. *Duke Math. J.*, 100(2):359–372, 1999.
[14] Z. Lu. K energy and K stability on hypersurfaces. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 12(3):601–630, 2004.
[15] T. Mabuchi. K-energy maps integrating Futaki invariants. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 38(4):575–593, 1986.
[16] D. H. Phong and J. Sturm. Stability, energy functionals, and Kähler-Einstein metrics. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 11(3):565–597, 2003.
[17] D. H. Phong and J. Sturm. The Futaki invariant and the Mabuchi energy of a complete intersection. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 12(1-2):321–343, 2004.
[18] G. Tian. The K-energy on hypersurfaces and stability. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 2(2):239–265, 1994.
[19] G. Tian. Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature. *Invent. Math.*, 130(1):1–37, 1997.
[20] G. Tian. Bott-Chern forms and geometric stability. *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems*, 6(1):211–220, 2000.
[21] G. Tian and X. Zhu. A new holomorphic invariant and uniqueness of Kähler-Ricci solitons. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 77(2):297–325, 2002.
[22] B. Weinkove. Higher K-energy functionals and highier futaki invariants. Preprint, 2002.
[23] M. Yotov. Generalized futaki invariant of almost fano toric varieties, examples. Preprint, 1999.

E-mail address: `cjliu@math.ncku.edu.tw`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHENG KUNG UNIVERSITY, TAINAN, TAIWAN 701